Hormonal therapy in Carcinoma Prostate





Prostate Cancer-Burden

- 2nd most frequent cancer and 5th leading cause of cancer death in men.
- ^{**} 2nd leading site of cancer among males in Indian cities like Delhi, Kolkata, Pune.²
- Hormonal therapy is the mainstay of treatment for men with prostate Ca



9.5 million new cases

Global cancer statistics 2018 Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A.

²Jain et al. Epidemiology of prostate cancer in India. Meta Gene. 2014

Hormonal therapy- how is it effective?





Hormonal therapy- how is it effective in Ca Prostate?



Androgen Deprivation in Ca Prostate

In 1940-50s Dr Charles Huggins discovered orchiectomy leads to significant reduction in Prostate Ca. Nobel Prize in 1966



 Schally discovered structure of LHRH, developed LHRH agonists, demonstrated decreased testosterone levels with daily doses; Nobel Prize in 1977



Strategies of Androgen Deprivation

SURGICAL CASTRATION

Orchiectomy

MEDICAL CASTRATION

- Inhibition of LHRH / LH release
- Ablation of androgen sources
- Inhibition of androgen synthesis
- Antiandrogens



Orchiectomy (Surgical Castration)

 Bilateral orchiectomy quickly reduces circulating testosterone levels to castration level (less than 50 ng/dL)

Simple procedure

Compliance not a problem

No flare

Nonreversible

Carries significant psychological burden

Inhibition of LHRH / LH release • LH-RH agonists

· Leuprolide, Goserelin, Triptorelin, Histrelin



Desensitization of LH-RH receptor in Anterior Pituitary after chronic exposure to LHRH

Testosterone flare-Coadministered with antiandrogens for 2-3 weeks

LH-RH Antagonists



Competitive inhibitors of LHRH

- No testosterone flare (no need for antiandrogen coadministration)
- Rapid Onset
- Persistent suppression.
- When rapid fall in testosterone is desired like bladder outlet obstruction, spinal cord compression, then LHRH antagonist preferred

GnRH antagonists

GnRH antagonists have an immediate onset of action, preventing gonadotrophin release through receptor blockade, leading to rapid suppression of LH and testosterone.



https://www.urotoday.com/

Generic	Brand	Route	Dosing
Goserelin acetate implant	Zoladex	S/C	3.6mg every month 10.8mg every 3 months
Leuprolide acetate depot	Lupron Depot	IM	7.5mg every month 22.5mg every 3 months 30mg every 4 months
Leuprolide acetate injectable	Eligard	S/C	7.5mg every month 22.5mg every 3 months 30mg every 4 months 45mg every 6 months
Histrelin implant	Vantas	S/C	50mg yearly
Leuprolide acetate implant	Viadur	S/C	65mg yearly
Triptorelin pamoate injectable	Trelstar Depot	IM	3.75mg every month 11.25mg every 3 months
Abarelix	Plenaxis	IM	100mg on Day 1,15, 29 followed by 100mg 4 weekly
Degarelix	Firmagon	S/C	240 mg once f/b 80 mg per month



GnRH agonists vs. antagonists



(Crawford, J Urol, 2011)

Inhibition of androgen synthesis 👬

- Aminoglutethimide
- Ketoconazole
- Abiratirone



Inhibition of androgen synthesis

- Aminoglutethimide ->
- Ketoconazole
- Abiratirone



Aromatase inhibitor

- Cholesterol \rightarrow Pregnenolone
- "Medical adrenalectomy"
- Required glucocorticoid + mineralocorticoid Rx with high side effect profile

Inhibition of androgen synthesis

- Aminoglutethimide
- Ketoconazole
- Abiratirone



Antifungal and Cytochrome P-450 inhibitor

- Blocks cholesterol side chain cleavage
- Blocks *17,20 desmolase* in DHEA synthesis
- Requires hydrocortisone Rx
- AEs: GI distress, hepatotoxicity, and medication interactions
- CRPC

Inhibition of androgen synthesis

- Aminoglutethimide
- Ketoconazole



- Potent, selective inhibitor of Cytochrome P-17A
- Inhibits *17-alpha-hydrolase* and *17,20-lyase*
 - Causes increased ACTH and increase in mineralocorticoids → Requires prednisone Rx
- Very effective androgen suppression (T<1ng/mL)
- AEs: Hypertension, hypokalemia, fluid retention

Antiandrogens

- Cyproterone acetate
- Flutamide
- Nilutamide
- Bicalutamide
- Enzalutamide
- Apalutamide

Steroidal competitive ARantagonist

- Lowers testosterone through central inhibition of GnRH via activation of progesterone receptor
- Severe cardiovascular complications (up to 10%)

Antiandrogens

- Cyproterone acetate
- Flutamide
- Nilutamide
- Bicalutamide
- Enzalutamide
- Apalutamide

- Non-steroidal competitive AR antagonists
- Raise T levels by 1.5x
 - T→estradiol = gynecomastia and breast pain (up to 70%)
- Partial agnonist activity
- Generally inferior as monotherapy to other forms of surgical or pharmaceutical castration

Antiandrogens

Adverse effect of ADT

Adverse effects of androgen deprivation therapy (ADT). Inside the dotted line represents metabolic effects of ADT. DM, diabetes mellitus.

https://www.researchgate.net/

Adverse Effects: Osteoporosis

Bone loss on ADT

- Baseline ~50% osteopenic/osteoporotic
- ADT causes increased bone turnover, decreased mineral density, increased risk of fractures
- +21-54% RR of fracture
- Screening
 - Baseline DEXA scan
- Treatment
 - Exercise
 - Calcium + Vit D3 Supplementation
 - Bisphosphinates or denosumab (RANK-L inhibitor) if high fracture risk

Indication/Timing of ADT? Whom to give

Low Risk – No role

Intermediate risk – NAHT + Conc + Adjuvant (short course 4-6 months)

High risk -NAHT + Conc + Adjuvant (long course 2-3 years)

N1M0 disease- EBRT +long term ADT + Abiraterone

Recurrence after RT or Surgery

Metastatic – Long term ADT +Abiraterone/Doce/Enzalutamide/Apalutamide

ADT for low risk localized Ca

ICCN:

Lu-Yao, JAMA Internal Med, 2014

- SEER-Medicare analysis of 66,717 men
- Diagnosed with T1-T2 CaP and started on primaryADT
- No improved 15 years DSS or OS

ADT for intermediate risk localized Ca Prostate • Short term ADT with RT improves OS

Short Androgen Suppression and Radiation Dose Escalation for Intermediate- and High-Risk Localized Prostate Cancer: Results of EORTC Trial 22991

Michel Bolla ^{CO}, <u>Philippe Maingon</u>, <u>Christian Carrie</u>, <u>Salvador Villa</u>, <u>Petros Kitsios</u>, <u>Philip M.P.</u> <u>Poortmans</u>...

- 75% of the study population was intermediate risk
- Six months of concomitant and adjuvant AS improves biochemical and clinical DFS of intermediate- and high-risk cT1b-c to cT2a

EAU guidelines

Disease State	Recommendation	Streng th rating	Clinica I trial	Drug used
Intermediate risk disease	For external-beam radiation therapy (EBRT), use a total dose of 76-78 Gy, in combination with short-term neoadjuvant plus concomitant androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) (four to six months).	Strong	Jones et al 2011* Krauss et al 2010**	Goserelin & Leuprolide Investigator choice
	In patients not willing to undergo ADT, use an escalated dose of EBRT (76-80 Gy) or a combination with brachytherapy.	Weak		

EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Copenhagen 2018. ISBN 978-94-92671-01-1 *. Jones, C.U., et al. Radiotherapy and shortterm androgen deprivation for localized prostate cancer. N Engl J Med, 2011. 365: 107. **Krauss, D., et al. Lack of benefit for the addition of androgen deprivation therapy to dose-escalated radiotherapy in the treatment of intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, 2011. 80: 1064.

ADT for high risk localized Ca Prostate

In patients with high-risk localised disease, use externalbeam radiation therapy (EBRT) with 76-78 Gy in combination with long-term androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) (2 to 3 years).

Trials : RTOG 9202 EORTC 22863 RTOG 8531

Fig 1. (A) Disease-specific survival. (B) distant metastasis failure, (C) biochemical failure, and (D) overall survival for all eligible patients. STAD, short-term androgen-deprivation therapy, LTAD, long-term androgen-deprivation therapy.

EORTC 22863 trial

- Node-negative patients with clinical stage T3 disease or T1–T2 patients with high-grade disease
- Received adjuvant ADT on the first day of radiotherapy (prescribed dose of 70 Gy) and continued for 3 years.
- The 10-year overall survival was 58% versus 40% for patients treated with ADT plus EBRT and EBRT alone, respectively (p = 0.0004).

Role with Radical Prostatectomy

No role of Neoadjuvant ADT prior to radical prostatectomy

Disea se State	Recommendation	Strengt h rating			
Rx after RP	Only discuss adjuvant treatment in men with a post-operative prostate- specific antigen (PSA) < 0.1 ng/mL	Strong			
	Do not prescribe adjuvant androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) in pN0 patients	Strong			
	Discuss three management options with patients with pN+ disease after an extended lymph node dissection, based on nodal involvement characteristics:	Weak			
	1. Offer adjuvant ADT for node-positive (pN+).				
	2. Offer adjuvant ADT with additional radiotherapy.				
	3. Offer observation (expectant management) to a patient after eLND and ≤ 2 nodes with microscopic involvement, and a PSA < 0.1 ng/mL and absence of extranodal extension.				
EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Copenhagen 2018. ISBN 978-94-92671-01-1 Rx: Treatment; RP: Radical Prostectomy.					

ADT for M0 biochemical recurrence (BCR)

Early vs. Delayed ADT

- Long natural history (Pound, JAMA, 1999)
 - BCR –(8 years)→ Metastasis –(5 years)→ Death
- Unclear effect on survival
 - Delays PSA progression but ?OS effects (Loblaw 2007; Messing 2006)
- Timing of ADT depends on patient and prognostic factors
 - Younger patients with rapid PSA velocity → ADT
 - Older patients with slow PSA velocity → observe

Timing of ADTSummary

Figure 109–10. Based on available clinical trial data, the hypothetical gain or loss in overall survival based on the time in the natural history of the disease that ADT is instituted, as demonstrated by the *dotted line*. (Concept and figure courtesy of P. lversen.)

ADT for M1 castration naïve prostate CA

- Gold standard for patients with metastatic disease at presentation
 - Immediate ADT seems to improve DSS, but not OS
 - Decreases symptoms and potential complications

(Loblaw, 2007 ASCO Practice Guideline Meta-Analysis, JCO)

TREATMENT OPTIONS IN mHSPC

CHAARTED Trial

Ainav PATIENTS OS Stratified according R Secondary to: А ADT + docetaxel -Ν Age (≥ 70 vs < 70) (n=397) Time to Clinical D PS(0-1 vs 2) Progression 0 Duration of prior М Time to Castration adjuvant hormonal I Z E Resistant Prostate therapy (> 12 months Cancer vs < 12 months Proportion of Concurrent D ADT alone Patients With PSA bisphosphonate (n=393) Complete use (yes vs no) 1 Response (CR) at Volume of disease :1 6 Months (low vs high) Proportion of Patients With PSA Complete Response (CR) at 790 patients randomised 12 Months July 2006 - December 2013 OOL

OVERALL SURVIVAL BY EXTENT OF METASTATIC DISEASE AT START OF ADT

- 17-month benefit in median OS (from 32.2 to 49.2 months) for high volume
- We projected 33 months in ADT arm with collaboration of SWOG9346 team

ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; DOC: Docetaxel 75 mg/m² From N Engl J Med, Sweeney C, et al., Chemohormonal Therapy in Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer, 373, 737-46

		1	Docetaxel + ADT	ADT alone		Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Total	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
CHAARTED	-0.4943	0.133	397	393	32.1%	0.61 [0.47, 0.79]		
GETUG-AFU 15	-0.1054	0.1468	192	193	28.8%	0.90 [0.67, 1.20]		
STAMPEDE Docetaxel	-0.3147	0.1085	362	725	39.1%	0.73 [0.59, 0.90]		
Total (95% Ci)		-	951	1311	100.0%	0.73 [0.60, 0.90]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	12. Chi - 3.05. dl = 2	P=0.15	17 = 48%				100 05 1 1	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 3.03 P = 0.002	\cup	2.20				Faunes docetaxel + ADT Faunes ADT :	alone D

RECOMMENDATION #1

Men with high-risk metastatic prostate cancer, especially those presenting with metastases at or soon after diagnosis, who are judged fit to receive chemotherapy, should be offered 6 cycles of docetaxel in addition to ADT

Reprinted from Eur Urol 69. 4. Tucci M. et al., Addition of Docetaxel to Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Patients with Hormana sensitive Metastatic Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis, 563–73.

STAMPEDE: ADT + Abiraterone + Prednisolone vs ADT Alone

Randomized, open-label, multiarm, multistage phase II/III trial (N = 1917)

LATITUDE: ADT + Abiraterone + Prednisone vs ADT + Dual Placebo in Metastatic Castrate-Sensitive PC

Presented By Christopher Sweeney at 2019 ASCO Annual Meeting

ENZAMET – Results

Modified By Christopher Sweeney at 2019 ASCO Annual Meeting

ENZAMET – Conclusions

- Enzalutamide demonstrated improved survival compared with standard NSAA in patients with mHSPC
 - 36-mo OS: 80% for enzalutamide vs 72% for NSAA (HR: 0.67; P = .002)
 - Similar OS benefit in patients with low and high volume of metastases
- Increased toxicity was shown with the addition of enzalutamide, as expected
 - Patients who were also treated with docetaxel experienced more chemotherapy-related toxicity
- The study investigators concluded that enzalutamide is an appropriate option for men with mHSPC starting on ADT

Davis et al NEJM 2019

TITAN – Study Design

Primary endpoints: OS, radiographic PFS

Secondary endpoints: time to pain progression, time to SRE, time to chronic opioid use, time to cytotoxic chemotherapy

Exploratory endpoints including: time to PSA progression, PFS2

Presented By Kim Chi at 2019 ASCO Annual Meeting

TITAN – Results

Modified By Kim Chi at 2019 ASCO Annual Meeting

	DOCETAXEL	ABIRATERONE	ENZALUTAMIDE APALUTAMIDE
Duration of treatment	Short term treatment	Long term treatment	Long term treatment
Toxicities	Peripheral neuropathy, hair loss	Liver enzymes, electrolytes	CNS (seizure), falls
Corticosteroids	Use of corticosteroids	Use of corticosteroids	No use of corticosteroids
Setting	High volume	> Any	> Any

Radiotherapy to the primary tumour for newly diagnosed, metastatic prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): a randomised controlled phase 3 trial

Christopher C Parker, MD A Prof Nicholas D James, PhD Christopher D Brawley, MSc Prof Noel W Clarke, ChM Alex P Hoyle, MRCS Adnan Ali, MBBS et al. Show all authors Show footnotes

Open Access • Published: October 21, 2018 • DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32486-3 •

- RT to the prostate no improvement in OS in unselected patients.
- RT did improve overall survival & FFS in those with a low metastatic burden without compromising on side effect profile.
- Can be a standard treatment in this subgroup.
- Extrapolating results from these findings-
- RT may improve survival in pelvic node-positive disease
- 2. for prevention of symptomatic local events

EAU Guidelines for the first-line treatment of metastatic disease

Recommendations	Strength rating
Offer immediate systemic treatment with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) to palliate symptoms and reduce the risk for potentially serious sequelae of advanced disease (spinal cord compression, pathological fractures, ureteral obstruction) to M1 symptomatic patients.	Strong
Offer luteinising hormone-releasing hormone (LHRH) antagonists, especially to patients with an impending spinal cord compression or bladder outlet obstruction.	Weak
Do not offer AR antagonists monotherapy to patients with M1 disease.	Strong
Offer ADT combined with chemotherapy (docetaxel) to patients whose first presentation is M1 disease and who are fit for docetaxel.	Strong
Offer ADT combined with abiraterone acetate plus prednisone or apalutamide or enzalutamide to patients whose first presentation is M1 disease and who are fit enough for the regimen.	Strong
Offer ADT combined with prostate radiotherapy to patients whose first presentation is M1 disease and who have low volume of disease by CHAARTED criteria.	Strong
Do not offer ADT combined with any local treatment (radiotherapy/surgery) to patients with high volume (CHAARTED criteria) M1 disease outside of clinical trials (except for symptom control).	Strong

https://uroweb.org/guideline/prostate-cancer/#6

Patients with asymptomatic unfavorable intermediate risk (UIR), high risk, and very high risk (HR) prostate cancer can defer further staging and radical treatment until deemed safe.

Neoadjuvant ADT should be considered in asymptomatic UIR and HR patients planning to receive definitive radiation therapy (RT). This may safely be given for up to 4–6 months as necessary.

Individuals who have received definitive treatment for their cancer with either radiation or surgery could defer initial post-treatment monitoring (PSA-based testing and digital rectal exam [DRE]) until deemed safe.

Data from Johns Hopkins suggest delaying surgical treatment for UIR and HR patients upwards of 6 months from biopsy diagnosis will not negatively impact their outcome.

Shorten or reduce

Consideration to use 3-, 4-or 6month formulations of ADT should be preferred over 1-month injections. For symptomatic patients, conservative measures should be prioritized (eg, medical therapy, ADT, clean intermittent catheterization). If necessary, surgical intervention or RT may be considered.

If it is deemed safe for patients to receive RT, the shortest safe external beam RT (EBRT) regimen should be used. This can consist of 5 to 7 fractions, consistent with current NCCN Guidelines.

- Hormonal therapy is a highly effective initial systemic therapy for Prostate cancer
- It is a low toxicity treatment but there are impacts on QOL

- ADT shows benefit in all 3 stages of prostate cancer (localized, locally advanced, metastatic) with an extensive safety and efficacy data
- Choice of drug depends on treatment cost, dosing, speed of onset, safety and tolerability, clinician experience and patient's wish

THANK YOU

Corporate Dilas (H11 Hauff Dis, 201 Fact Matancia Stars Heckes Denn Barringsanda Infantse Ang, Hour Fact Bargaine (2000) (Ng): Sevenangenetically org (2010) 11 Second 2010 (1910) 11 Second 2010 (1910)