Target Delineation for Radiotherapy of Prostate Cancer

Dr. Sajal Kakkar

MD, FUICC (USA), FAROI (France) Principal Consultant Radiation Oncology Max SuperSpeciality Hospital, Mohali

Keys to Successful Treatment

- Goal: high dose to prostate, spare surrounding normal tissue (ie, precision)
- Treatment Planning
- Treatment Delivery
- Buzz words: 3D Conformal, IMRT, IGRT

Progression of Technology Don't forget the past!!

1970-80s -

- Treatment designed using Xrays and lead blocks
- Poor soft tissue delineation
- RT doses in range of 60Gy

1980-90s -

- CT based treatment planning
- Outlining prostate, SV, rectum, bladder, femoral head
- RT doses around 70Gy
- 21st Century IMRT
- New way of delivering photons
- Allowed increase of dose in 76-81Gy range



Why IMRT??



3DCRT

IMRT

Why IMRT??

Safety – IMRT allows us to....

•Decrease bowel toxicity and sexual dysfunction (Namiki 2006)

•Decrease bowel toxicity (Sanguineti 2006)

•Reduce bowel treated to high dose in WPRT (Ashman 2005)

•Spare penile structures and potentially reduce sexual dysfunction (Kao 2004)

Efficacy -

Dose escalation leads to better PSA relapse free survival

Zietman et al, JAMA 2005, Peeters et al, JCO 2006

What have we learned?

IMRT can deliver higher doses in prostate cancer safely

Higher dose demonstrates better control rates

IMRT in Prostate Cancer

Things to consider..



Clinical Aspects

Verification

Radiobiological Aspects

Delivery



IMRT in Prostate Cancer..

Patient Set-up:

Most vital but often the most neglected part of beam direction..

- Supine position, hands on chest
- Laxatives a night before CT simulation (rectum as empty as possible)
- Void urine and drink 250-500ml water, starting 30mins before CT sim (reproducibility)

CT –
3mm slice thickness
From L4/5 to 3cm below ischial tuberosity
No rectal contrast

• CEMRI Prostate -



Distended Rectum at Simulation



Superimposed Scans post Rectal Emptying





Sagittal view, Distended Rectum



Seminal Vesicles off contour

Structure delineation in Prostate Radiotherapy The Tricks..

- Identifying prostate apex and its relevance
- Anterior Fibromuscular Stroma (AFS) at mid prostate level
- Contouring errors at prostate base
- SV as target When and How much??

Delineation of Prostate Apex



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 63, No. 2, pp. 479–491, 2005 Copyright © 2005 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/05/\$-see front matter

doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.02.036

CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

Prostate

FUNCTIONAL ANATOMY OF THE PROSTATE: IMPLICATIONS FOR TREATMENT PLANNING

PATRICK W. MCLAUGHLIN, M.D.,*[†] SARA TROYER, B.S.,[†] SALLY BERRI, M.D.,[†] VRINDA NARAYANA, PH.D.,*[†] AMICHAY MEIROWITZ, M.D.,[†] PETER L. ROBERSON, PH.D.,[†] AND JAMES MONTIE, M.D.[‡]

Department of Radiation Oncology, Providence Hospital, Southfield, MI, and Departments of [†]Radiation Oncology and ^{}Urology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

McLaughlin PW, IJROBP 2005, 63(2): 479-91

Erection functional anatomy: IPA, NVB, and CC

The IPA originates from the internal iliac and passes through the pudendal canal. It supplies three main arteries of the penis: the bulbo-urethral artery, the cavernous or deep artery, and the dorsal artery. These branch as the IPA passes through the GUD (25–27). The deep artery of the penis

Clinical implications of erectile functional anatomy

In this review, sexual function has been divided into erectile function and ejaculatory function. Disruption of the complex vascular physiology through small and large vessel damage is thought to be the principal cause of erectile dysfunction after radiation (63). Both the IPA and the CC are separable from the prostate, in some patients by more than 2 cm, and careful definition of the prostate apex may allow complete sparing of the CC and IPA in some patients (64). However, controversy remains about the mechanism of erectile dysfunction and the potential role of nerve damage in addition to vascular damage.

The penile bulb is adjacent to the erectile structures and has been correlated with erectile dysfunction (65, 66). This

McLaughlin PW, IJROBP 2005, 63(2): 479-91

Corpus cavernosum

The erection expansile tissue is the corpus cavernosum. The base of the CC is surrounded by the ischiocavernosus muscle and relaxation of this muscle in concert with IPA dilation results in rapid filling of the CC. Because of the unique venous anatomy, the filling of the CC compresses the veins, limiting efflux. Erectile dysfunction can result from arterial insufficiency (e.g., IPA-occlusive disease is the most common cause of impotence), venous leak, or nerve dysfunction. The CC is visible on CT lateral to the penile bulb, but is more clearly defined by T2 MRI, where the

involved in erectile function. The positive studies correlating penile bulb dose and erectile dysfunction may be attributed to the proximity of the penile bulb to the CC/IPA connection. Thus the penile bulb dose may be a surrogate for the CC/IPA dose (67, 68).

Although vascular effects have been considered the most common cause of erectile dysfunction after radiation therapy, the penile bulb correlation studies may also reflect dose to the terminal cavernosal nerve (65, 66). The terminal branch of the NVB, the cavernosal nerve, courses through the GUD next to the urethra and terminates in the CC very close to the base of the penile bulb. This leaves open the question of whether nerve disruption at or near the terminal branch of the cavernosal nerve (penile bulb region) is, in part, responsible for erectile dysfunction after radiation therapy. Although sparing of the NVB adjacent to the prostate is not technically feasible or advisable with current setup error, sparing of dose to the terminal branches of the cavernosal nerves is possible when the prostate apex is accurately defined. Dose limitation to the penile bulb and corpus

Level: Penile Bulb

Crura of Corpus Cavernosa





Image 2

Level: Penile Bulb/ GUD transition





Image 4

Note the penile bulb ends and the GU Diaphragm (GUD) begins.

Level: GUD



Image 5

Image 6

Note the thickness of the External Sphincter muscle. The circular shape of the GUD suggests prostate but this is still 1 cm below the prostate. Also note the Pudendal Canal is clear near the GUD level.

Level : GUD



Image 7

Image 8

Note the convex shape of the Levator Ani at the upper GUD (Image 7). On image 8 the concave shape of the Levator Ani marks the transition to the prostate apex.

Level: Prostate Apex





Image 10

Note the external sphincter commonly extends into the prostate apex.

Coronal T2 MRI

Level: Mid-Prostate



The distance petween the prostate apex and the penile bulb is visible on the coronal images. Also note, the external sphincter extends through the GUD and into the prostate.

Sagittal T2 MRI

Level: Mid-Prostate



Note the urethra is visible through the center of the prostate in image 4. Also, the definition of the apex is less distinct on sagittal than on coronal. Sagittal views often clarify the prostate base/ seminal vesicle region.

MRI Apex to GUD Transition



Concave levator ani

Convex – levator ani

Note the transition from concave levator ani at the apex versus convex just below the apex.

Summary of GUD Shape

Image 1. just above penile bulb



Image 2

Image 4. apex

Image 3

Note the change in shape of the GUD: just above the penile bulb it is triangular in shape, near the mid-diaphragm it is circular, then hourglass shaped. These shapes are often visible in subtle form on CT.

3 D View- contouring GUD as prostate



GUD contoured as prostate

3D view of Prostate contoured on CT to include round GUD and external sphincter often mistaken for prostate. The same figure with the MRI prostate shown in light blue.

rectum

3 D View- contouring prostate with rectum as reference





3D view of Prostate contoured to the edge of the rectum on CT

The same figure with the MRI prostate shown in light blue

ELSEVIER	Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 76, No. 2, pp. 369–378, 2010 Copyright © 2010 Elsevier Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/10/\$-see front matter doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.019	
CLINICAL	INVESTIGATION Prostate	
RADI	OGRAPHIC AND ANATOMIC BASIS FOR PROSTATE CONTOURING ERRORS AND METHODS TO IMPROVE PROSTATE CONTOURING ACCURACY	
	Patrick W. McLaughlin, M.D.,* [†] Cheryl Evans, M.S.,* Mary Feng, M.D.,* and Vrinda Narayana, Ph.D.* [†]	
*Departm	ent of Radiation Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; and [†] Department of Radiation Oncology, Providence Cancer Center, Novi, MI	

Contouring errors at apex, mid & base

Seminal Vesicles Inclusion in Prostate Radiotherapy

Incidence of SVI is decreasing in PSA era

Expansion of CTV in post-lat direction with inclusion of SV

Higher rectal dose and risk of acute and late toxicities

Predicting risk of SV involvement

Partins Nomograms, Roach Equation

Partin AW, Urology 2001, Roach M3rd, J Urol 1993

• Risk Stratification

	Risk of SVI (%)		
Authors	No. of pts	Low Risk Group	Intermediate Risk Group
Katcher et al	368	8	22
D'Amico et al	749	2	17
Pisansky TM et al	2959	<10	-
Zlotta Ar et al	1283	<5	-
Kestin L et al	344	1	15
Schultz et al	5079	4	13

Proportion of SV in CTV?



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 54, No. 3, pp. 686-697, 2002 Copyright © 2002 Elsevier Science Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-3016/02/S-see front matter

PII S0360-3016(02)03011-0

CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

Prostate

TREATMENT OF PROSTATE CANCER WITH RADIOTHERAPY: SHOULD THE ENTIRE SEMINAL VESICLES BE INCLUDED IN THE CLINICAL TARGET VOLUME?

LARRY L. KESTIN, M.D.,* NEAL S. GOLDSTEIN, M.D.,[†] FRANK A. VICINI, M.D.,* DI YAN, PH.D.,* HOWARD J. KORMAN, M.D.,[‡] AND ALVARO A. MARTINEZ, M.D.*

Departments of *Radiation Oncology, †Anatomic Pathology, and ‡Urology, William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, MI

Purpose: When treating high-risk prostate cancer with radiation therapy, inclusion of the seminal vesicles (SVs) within the clinical target volume (CTV) can dramatically increase the volume of radiated normal tissues and hinder dose escalation. Because cancer may involve only the proximal portion of the frequently lengthy SVs, we performed a complete pathology review of prostatectomy specimens to determine the appropriate length of SV to include within the CTV when SV treatment is indicated.

Methods and Materials: A detailed pathologic analysis was performed for 344 radical prostatectomy specimens (1987–2000). All slides from each case were reviewed by a single pathologist (N.S.G.). Factors recorded for each case included length of each SV (cm), length of cancer involvement in each SV (cm) measured from the prostate-SV junction, and percentage of SV length involved.

Results: Fifty-one patients (15%) demonstrated SV involvement in 81 SVs (21 unilateral, 30 bilateral SV involvement). The median SV length was 3.5 cm (range: 0.7–8.5 cm). Factors associated with SV involvement included the pretreatment PSA level, biopsy Gleason score, and clinical T classification. The commonly used risk group stratification was very effective at predicting SV positivity. Only 1% of low-risk patients (PSA <10 ng/mL, Gleason ≤6, and clinical stage ≤T2a) demonstrated SV involvement vs. 27% of high-risk patients. Patients with only one high-risk feature still demonstrated a 15% risk of SV involvement, whereas 58% of patients with all three high-risk features had positive SVs. The median length of SV involvement was 1.0 cm (90th percentile: 2.0 cm, range: 0.2–3.8 cm). A median of 25% of each SV was involved with adenocarcinoma (90th percentile: 54%, range: 4%–75%). For the 81 positive SVs, no factor was associated with a greater length or percentage of SV involvement. In the entire population, 7% had SV involvement beyond 1.0 cm. There was an approximate 1% risk of SV involvement beyond 2.0 cm or 60% of the SV. In addition, this risk was less than 4% for all subgroups, including high-risk patients.

Conclusions: A portion of the SV should be included in the CTV only for higher-risk patients (PSA ≥ 10 ng/mL, biopsy Gleason ≥ 7 , or clinical T stage $\geq T2b$). When treating the SV for prostate cancer, only the proximal 2.0–2.5 cm (approximately 60%) of the SV should be included within the CTV. © 2002 Elsevier Science Inc.

Overview

When Should the Seminal Vesicles be Included in the Target Volume in Prostate Radiotherapy?

N. A. Bayman, J. P. Wylie

Christie Hospital, Wilmslow Road, Manchester M20 4BX, UK

Conclusions

We recommend excluding the seminal vesicles from the CTV in patients with prostate cancer treated with definitive radiotherapy who have a pre-treatment PSA \leq 10 ng/ml, a biopsy Gleason score of 6 or less, stage \leq T2a, and a percentage of positive biopsy \leq 50%. The risk of SVI in this group of patients is \leq 5%. Most of the evidence suggests that

patients with \leq T2b disease and use a cut-off of 15% to decide the need for seminal vesicle irradiation. Patients with T3 disease should have the seminal vesicles included.

Although the best evidence suggests that only the proximal half needs to be treated, the evidence is contradictory, so we cannot make firm recommendations regarding the length of the seminal vesicles to treat. It seems reasonable to avoid treating the entire seminal vesicles to the tips at the **Seminal Vesicles Inclusion in Prostate Radiotherapy**

- No consensus on extent as well as dose to SV
- Proximal 2cm of SV to be included if risk of SVI>15%
- Dose 60Gy 78Gy (? Volume reduction)

Take Home Message

- IMRT is the *de facto* standard of care in prostate radiotherapy
- Image guidance imperative to ensure accurate and safe delivery of radiation
- Learning curve involved in structure delineation, treatment verification procedures