TARGET VOLUME DELINEATION IN RECTAL CANCER

Dr Jayaprakash Madhavan MD. DMRT. DNB, FICRO



Surgery for Rectal Cancer



Technical Failure Results in Local Recurrence Zollinger RMJr, Zollinger RM. Atlas of Surgival Operations. 7th ed. New York, NY: MtGraw-Hil; 1993.

Circumferential Resection Margin

In curative resected patients:

- 23% overall local recurrence rate
 - 66% CRM involved
- 8% CRM not involved

Effect of CRM involvement on 5-year survival:

- 62% overall survival
- 24% CRM involved
- 74% CRM not involved
- p<0.001

Adam U, et al. Lancet. 1994;344:707-711.

Radial Margin

- positive when the shortest distance between the site of deepest tumor infiltration and the surgical The radial margin is defined as involved or resection margin was less than 1mm
 - Sources of circumferential margin involvement:
- Primary tumor penetration
- Lymphatic mesorectal spread
- Mesorectal tumor deposits

TME for Rectal Cancer

Remove rectum en bloc with mesorectum



Study	6	Treatment	Tumor downstaging* [% of patients]	pCR [% of patients]	5-year local recurrence rate [% of patients]	5-year OS [% of patients]
Swedish Rectal Cancer Trial (1997) ³⁷	1,168	Preoperative short-course RT surgery (TME not mandated)	NR NR	NR NR	11.0 27.0	58.0 48.0
German Rectal Cancer Study Group (2004) ⁸	421	Preoperative 5-FU + RT Postoperative 5-FU + RT	NR NR	8.0 0	6.0 13.0	76.0 74.0
EORTC 22921 (2005, 2006) ^{3,43}	1,011	Preoperative RT Preoperative RT + postoperative 5FU Preoperative 5-FU + RT Preoperative 5-FU + RT + postoperative 5-FU	42.4 [‡] 42.4 [‡] 57.1 [§] 57.1 [§]	5.3 [‡] 5.3 [‡] 13.7 [§] 13.7 [§]	17.1 9.6 8.7 7.6	64.8 [‡] 64.8 [‡] 65.8 [§] 65.8 [§]
FFCD 9203 (2006)44	733	Preoperative RT Preoperative 5-FU + RT	NR NR	3.6 11.4	16.5 8.1	67.9 67.4
Dutch Rectal Cancer Study (2007) ³⁵	1,861	Preoperative short-course RT + TME only	NR NR	NR NR	5.6 10.9	64.2 63.5
*Defined as T0–T2. *Combit preoperative 5-FU + RT with survival; pCR, pathologic cor	ned data fr or without j nplete resp	om patients receiving preoperative RT with or with postoperative 5-RU. Abbreviations: 5-FU, 5-fluoro conse; RT, radiotherapy.	thout postoperative 5F uracil; LARC, locally ad	.U. [#] Combined of Ivanced rectal o	lata from patients rece ancer; NR, not reported	ving 1; 0S, overall
Medscape		So	ource: Nat Rev Cl	in Onc © 20	11 Nature Publish	ning Group

Pre-treatment evaluation

- Digital rectal examination
- Rigid Proctoscopy
- Endoscopic rectal uss
- MRI pelvis
- PET CT

Multidisciplinary Tumour Board



Transrectal Endoscopic Ultrasound (TEUS)

MRI



Figure: Normal rectal and perirectal anatomy on high-resolution T2-weighted MRI. Rectal mucosa (M), submucosa (SM), and muscularis propria (PM) are well discriminated. Mesorectal fascia appears as a thin, low-signal-intensity structure (*arrowheads*) and fuses with the remnant of urogenital septum making Denonvilliers fascia (*arrows*).



Figure: Mucinous adenocarcinoma of the rectum. T2-weighted MRI shows high signal intensity (*arrowheads*) of the cancer lesion in right anterolateral side of the rectal wall.

Assess the depth of invasion

MRI



PET-CT images fused with MRI







Contour BowelBag, Colon and SmallBowel the suggested cm above PTV, not necessarily this high



Sagital

PenileBulb Bladder ScrninalVcsc Prostate Rectum BowelBag





Design and delivery of pelvic radiation

Anatomic location of the tumour Pathways lymphatic spread Patterns local relapse Pre operative or post operative Definitive chemo radiation

Pelvic radiation

preoperative

- Better anatomic delineation
- Bowel adhesions less
- Better oxygenated and biologically more effective

Post operative

- Target volume is determined by operative findings and extent of surgery
- Bowel adhesions and small bowel radiations more
- less oxygenated and biologically less effective

Position

- Prone with belly board
- supine

Target volume conventional technique

- Lower limit 2cm below the lower extent or pelvic floor which ever is lower
- Upper limit sacral promontory or some times L4-5 junction
- laterally 1.5 cm lateral to medial pelvic rim
- Anteriorly just behind the pubic symphysis unless there is extension ant structures or anal canal involvement
- Posteriorly entire sacrum is included



Techniques of radiations

- Conventional 3field technique with wedges or four field box technique
- Conformal
- 3D planning gives better and uniform target coverage than 2D and should be used
- Prefers 6Mv or more



Techniques of radiation

 IMRT or VMAT using image guided therapy highly conformal radiation with better homogeniety spare the healthy normal tissue and OAR



RTOG – IMRT

• CTV A – ALWAYS TREATED

- Rectum and mesorectum (perirectal area)
- Internal iliac nodes
- Presacral area
- CTV B
 - External iliac nodal region
- CTV C
 - Inguinal nodal region



Core Lab > Contouring Atlases > Anorectal

Anorectal Contouring Guidelines

Group consensus contours: Brown = CTVA (peri-rectal, pre-sacral, internal iliac), Blue = CTVB (external iliac).





volumes. Each contourer was assigned a different color. These are best viewed with FIGURE 1. Superposition of individual investigator's contours of clinical target a zoom of 200%





Margin around blood vessels – 7-10mm PTV margin -7mm-10mm



• 2cm margin



































Techniques to minimize radiation side effects





(a)



Anal sphincter sparing

(b)





conclusion

- Each centre should have protocol for imaging and integration of various imaging to assess the tumour in a multidisciplinary tumour board
- MRI pelvis should be a standard imaging to assess mesorectal involvement and lymph node metastasis
- Accurate knowledge of anatomy and spread pattern of the tumour improves target delineation
- IMRT and online imaging verification improves dose conformity and homogeneity and spares normal tissue and organ at risk.