

## **Basic Radiation Oncology Physics**

**T. Ganesh, Ph.D., DABR** Chief Medical Physicist Fortis Memorial Research Institute**Gurgaon** 

**Acknowledgment:** 



**I gratefully acknowledge the IAEA resources ofteaching slides for this presentation. Entire credit belongs to IAEA.**

## **Penetration of photon beams into patient**

- $\blacktriangleright$  A photon beam propagating through air or vacuum is governed by the inverse square law.
- $\blacktriangleright$ A photon beam propagating through a phantom or patient<br>is effected not only by the inverse square law but also by is affected not only by the inverse square law but also by<br>the ethnological conduction of the abotas has an incident the <u>attenuation and scattering of the photon beam inside</u> the phantom or patient.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The three effects make the dose deposition in a phantom or patient a complicated process and its determination a complex task.



## **Need for dosimetric functions**

- $\blacktriangleright$ We need to know dose distribution within target volume and in the surrounding tissues
- $\blacktriangleright$ One cannot measure dose at all points
- $\blacktriangleright$  Several dosimetric functions link the dose at any arbitrary point inside the patient to the known dose at the beamcalibration (or reference) point in a phantom.



## **Dosimetric functions are measured in reference conditions**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Dosimetric functions are usually measured with suitable radiation detectors in tissue equivalent phantoms.
- $\blacktriangleright$ Dose or dose rate at the reference point is determined for, or in, water phantoms for a specific set of reference conditions, such as:
	- ▶ Depth in phantom z
	- **▶ Field size A**
	- Source-surface distance (SSD)



## **Central axis depth dose (CADD)**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Typical dose distribution for an external photon beam follows<br>e known general pettern: a known general pattern:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Surface dose  $D_{\rm s}$
	- **Exapid buildup of dose** beneath the surface - reaches a maximum value at a depth  $z_{\textsf{max}}$  - decreases almost exponentially - reaches a value  $D_{\mathrm{ex}}$  at the patient's exit point.





#### **Surface dose**

#### $\blacktriangleright$ Surface dose:

 $\blacktriangleright$ 

- > For megavoltage x-ray beams the surface dose is generally much lower (skin sparing effect) than the maximum dose at  $z_{\text{max}}$ .
- For superficial and orthovoltage **For superficial and orthovoltage** beams  $z_{\text{max}} = 0$  and the surface dose equals the maximum dose.
	- Typical values of surface dose:
		- **⊃** 100%for superficial and orthovoltage

6

- 30% for cobalt-60 gamma rays
- **⇒** 15% for 6 MV x-ray beams
- 10% for18 MV x-ray beams



#### **Depth of dose maximum depends on….**



## **Radiation treatment parameters**

- $\blacktriangleright$  The **main parameters** in external beam dose delivery with photon beams are:
	- ▶ Depth of treatment z
	- **▶ Fields size A**
	- Source-skin distance (SSD) in SSD setups
	- Source-axis distance (SAD) in SAD setups
	- > Photon beam energy
	- ▶ Number of beams used in dose delivery to the patient
	- **> Treatment time for orthovoltage and teletherapy machines**
	- Number of monitor units (MUs) for linacs



#### **Radiation treatment parameters**

- $\blacktriangleright$  $\triangleright$  Point P is at  $z_{\text{max}}$  on central axis.
- $\triangleright$  Point Q is arbitrary point at<br>denth z on the central avis depth z on the central axis.
- $\blacktriangleright$ > Field size A is defined on patient's surface.
- $\blacktriangleright$  ${\mathcal A}_{{\mathsf Q}}$  $_{\tiny{\textrm{Q}}}$  is the field size at point Q.
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ SSD = source-skin distance.
- $\angle$  SCD = source-collimator distance



## **Radiation field size**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Radiation fields are divided into two categories: geometric and dosimetric (physical).
	- According to the ICRU, the geometric field size is defined as<br>"the projection of the distal and of the machine collimator" "the projection of the distal end of the machine collimator onto a plane perpendicular to the central axis of the radiation beam as seen from the front center of the source."
	- The dosimetric field size (also called the physical field size) is defined by the **intercent of a given isodose surface** is defined by the **intercept of a given isodose surface (usually 50%)** with a plane perpendicular to the central axis of the radiation beam at a defined distance from the source.



#### **Equivalent field size**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Equivalent square for rectangular field:
	- An arbitrary rectangular field with sides a and b will be approximately equal to a square field with side  $a_{\rm eq}$ approximately equal to a square field with side  $\bm{a}_\text{eq}$  when<br>both fields have the same area/perimeter ratio (Day's rule).





## **Percentage depth dose**

 $\triangleright$  Central axis dose distributions inside the patient are usually normalized to  $D_{\text{max}} = 100\%$  at the depth of  $_{\text{source}}$ dose maximum  $z_{\text{max}}$  and then referred to as percentage depth dose (PDD) distributions

 $\triangleright$  PDD is thus defined as follows:

$$
PDD(z, A, f, hv) = 100 \frac{D_{\text{Q}}}{D_{\text{p}}} = \frac{\dot{D}_{\text{Q}}}{\dot{D}_{\text{p}}}
$$



- $\blacktriangleright$  $D_{\text{Q}}$  and  $D_{\text{Q}}$  are the dose and dose rate, respectively, at  $D_{\text{Q}}$ arbitrary põint Q at depth  $\boldsymbol{z}$  on the beam central axis.  $\dot{D}_{\rm Q}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $D_{\mathsf{P}}$ reference point P at depth  $z_{\mathsf{max}}$  on the beam central axis.  $D_{\rm P}$  and  $D_{\rm P}$  are the dose and dose rate, respectively, at  $\frac{1}{2}$  for an the beam control ovident



## **Dose at any point is due to both primary & scatter**

 $\triangleright$  The dose at point Q in the patient consists of two components: **primary component** and **scatter component.**

$$
D_{\rm Q} = D_{\rm pri} + D_{\rm sca}
$$

- As the depth increases, the **relative** contribution of D<sub>pri</sub> decreases and that of  $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{sca}}$  increases
- At low energies, this effect is predominant





## **Tissue-phantom ratio (TPR)**

- $\blacktriangleright$  For isocentric setups with megavoltage photon energies the concept of tissue-phantom ratio TPR was developed.
- $\blacktriangleright$ Similarly to TAR the TPR depends upon  $z$ ,  $A_{Q}$ , and energy.



Fissue-maximum ratio TMR is a special TPR for  $z_{ref} = z_{max}$ .  $\blacktriangleright$ 

**Fortis** 

## **Off-axis beam profiles**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Combining a central axis dose distribution with offaxis data results in a volume, dose matrix.
- $\angle$  2-D and 3-D information on<br>the dose distribution in the the dose distribution in the patient
- $\blacktriangleright$  The off-axis ratio OAR is defined as the **ratio of dose at an off-axis point** to the **dose on the central beam axis at the same depth** in a phantom





## **Off-axis or cross-beam beam profiles**

# **Cross Beam Profile**





## **Field size**

## **≻ Geometric or nominal** field size is:

- $\triangleright$  Indicated by the optical light field of the treatment machine.
- $\triangleright$  Usually defined as the separation between the 50% dose level points on the beam profile measured at the depth of dose maximum  $z_{\sf max}$  (dosimetric field size)





#### **Penumbra**

The total penumbra is referred to as the **physical penumbra** and consists of three components:

- Geometric penumbra results from the finite source size.
- ▶ Scatter penumbra results from in-patient photon scatter originating in the open field.
- >Transmission penumbra results from beam transmitted through the collimation device.



## **Flatness & Symmetry**





## **Isodose chart & isodose curves**

 $\blacktriangleright$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$ An isodose chart for a given single beam consists of a<br>family of isodose curves usually drawn at reqular family of isodose curves usually drawn at regular increments of PDD.
	- Two normalization conventions are in use:
		- For SSD set-ups, all isodose values are normalized to 100% at point of dose maximum on the central beam axis.
		- > For SAD set-ups, the isodose values are normalized to 100% at the isocentre 100% at the isocentre



#### **Normalization at dose maximum**

For SSD set-ups, all isodose values are normalized to 100% at point P on the central beam axis (point of dose maximum at depth  $z_{\mathsf{max}}$ ).

Cobalt-60 gamma rays  $SSD = 80$  cm  $A = 10x10$  cm<sup>2</sup> -5 100 50 10 10

## **Normalization at isocentre**

**≻For SAD set-ups, the isodose** values are normalized to 100% at the isocentre.

Cobalt-60 gamma rays SAD = 80 cm  $A_0$  = 10x10 cm<sup>2</sup>



## **Different type of normalization**

#### Different normalizations for a single 18 MV photon beam incident on a patient contour





Isodose curves for a fixed SSD beam normalized at depth of **dose maximum**

Isodose curves for an isocentric beam normalized at the **isocenter**



#### **Isodose curves are affected by…**

 $\triangleright$  Beam quality

**≻Source size** 

 $\triangleright$  Beam collimation

 $\triangleright$  Field size

**≻ Source-skin distance** 

**≻ Source-collimator distance** 



#### **Isodose curves for different energies**

 $\triangleright$  Isodose distributions for various photon radiation beams: orthovoltage x rays, cobalt-60 gamma rays, 4 MV x rays, 10 MV x rays



## **'Ears' in an isodose chart:** Have you ever noticed?

# **Isodose Distribution**





**From: Dr. Palta, Univ of Florida**

## **'Ears' in an isodose chart:** Have you ever noticed?

#### **Contaminant**

**electrons** contribute to dose outside the field at shallow depths. The magnitude and extent of the dose outside the geometric edge of a field at shallow depths **increases**with beam energy



**Does your TPS model this phenomenon?**



- Measured dose distributions apply to a **flat radiation beam**incident on a flat homogeneous water phantom.
- $\triangleright$  To relate such measurements to the actual dose distribution in a patient, **corrections** for irregular surface and tissue inhomogeneities have to be applied.
- > Three methods for contour correction are used:
	- (1)the (manual) isodose shift method;
	- (2)the effective attenuation coefficient method;
	- (3)the TAR method.



- $\triangleright$  Grid lines are drawn parallel to the central beam axis all across the field.
- **The tissue deficit (or excess) <b>h** is the<br>difference between the SSD along a difference between the SSD along a gridline and the SSD on the central axis.
- $\triangleright$  k is an energy dependent parameter<br>aiven in the next slide given in the next slide.
- $\triangleright$  The isodose distribution for a flat<br>phantom is aligned with the SSD phantom is aligned with the SSD central axis on the patient contour.
- For each gridline, the overlaid isodose<br>distribution is shifted up (or down) such distribution is shifted up (or down) such that the overlaid SSD is at a point **k×h**above (or below) the central axis SSD.

#### **(1) Manual isodose shift method**





#### **Parameter k used in the isodose shift method**





#### **(2) Effective attenuation coefficient method**

- $\triangleright$  The correction factor is determined from the attenuation factor exp(-µx), where x is the depth of missing tissue above the calculation point, and µ is the linear attenuation coefficient of tissue for a given energy.
- $\triangleright$  For simplicity the factors are usually pre-calculated and supplied in graphical or tabular form.



## **(3) TAR method**

The tissue-air ratio (TAR) correction method is also based on the attenuation law, but takes the depth of the calculation point and the field size into account.

> Generally, the correction factor  $C_F$  as a function of depth  $z$ , thickness of missing tissue  $h$ , and field size  $f$ , is given by:

$$
C_{F} = \frac{TAR(z-h,f)}{TAR(z,f)}
$$

 $\triangleright$  TMRs / TPRs also can be used in place of TAR



## **Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Radiation beams used in patient treatment traverse various tissues that may differ from water in density and atomic number.
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  This may result in isodose distributions that differ significantly from those obtained with water phantoms.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The effects of inhomogeneities on the dose distributions depend upon:
	- Amount, density and atomic number of the inhomogeneity.
	- Quality of the radiation beam.



## **Corrections for tissue inhomogeneities**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Four empirical methods have been developed for correcting the water phantom dose to obtain the dose at points  $P_3$  in region (3) beyond the inhomogeneity  $_3$  in region (3) beyond the inhomogeneity:
	- ▶ TAR method
	- ▶ Power law TAR method
	- Equivalent TAR method
	- ▶ Isodose shift method





## **Best way to account for inhomogeneities**

- Model based algorithms
	- Convolution-superposition method
	- Monte Carlo method



## **General considerations for photon beams**

Almost a dogma in external beam radiotherapy:

#### **Successful radiotherapy requires a uniform dose distribution within the target (tumor).**



External photon beam radiotherapy is usuallycarried out with **multiple radiation beams** in order to achieve a **uniform dose distribution**inside the target volume and a dose as low as possible in healthy tissues surrounding thetarget.



## **Criteria of a uniform dose distribution within the target**

 $\triangleright$  Recommendations regarding dose uniformity, prescribing, recording, and reporting photon beam therapy are set forth by the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU).

The ICRU report 50 recommends a target dose uniformity **within +7% and –5%** relative to the dose delivered to a well defined prescription point within the target.



#### **Methods of beam setup**

 $\triangleright$  Photon beam radiotherapy is carried out under two setup conventions



**constant Source-Surface Distance**

**(SSD technique)**

**isocentric setup with a constant Source-Axis Distance**

**(SAD technique).**



## **SSD technique**

The distance from the source to the **surface** of the patient is kept **constant** for all beams.





## **SAD technique**

 $\triangleright$  The center of the target volume is placed at the machine isocenter, i.e. the **distance to the target point** is kept constant for all beams.

#### **Note:**

In contrast to SSD technique,the **SAD technique** requires **no** adjustment of the patient setup when turning the gantry to thenext field.



## **SSD vs. SAD technique:** Which is better?

> There is little difference between fixed SSD techniques and isocentric (SAD) techniques with respect to the dose:

- Fixed SSD arrangements are usually at **a greater SSD** than isocentric beams because the machine isocenter is on the patient skin.
- They have therefore a slightly **higher PDD** at depth.
- Additionally, beam **divergence is smaller** with SSD due to the larger distance.



## **SSD vs. SAD technique:** Which is better?

These dosimetric advantages of SSD technique are small.

 $\triangleright$  With the exception of very large fields exceeding 40x40 cm<sup>2</sup> , **the advantages of using a single set-up point** (i.e., the isocenter) greatly outweigh the dosimetric advantage of SSD **beams** 



## **Dose specification**

 $\triangleright$  Parameters to characterize the dose distribution within a volume and to specify the dose are:

- Minimum target dose
- Maximum target dose
- **Mean target dose**
- A **reference dose** at a **representative point** within the volume

 $\triangleright$  The ICRU has given recommendations for the selection of a representative point (the so-called **ICRU reference point**).

## **Dose specification**

- The **ICRU reference dose point** is located at a point chosen to represent the delivered dose using the following criteria:
	- The point should be located in a region where the dose can be calculated accurately (i.e., no build-up or steep gradients).
	- $\triangleright$  The point should be in the central part of the PTV.
	- For multiple fields, the isocenter (or beam intersection point) is recommended as the ICRU reference point.



## **Dose specification**

**ICRU reference point for multiple fields**

The ICRU (reference) point is located at the isocenter

#### Example for a 3 field prostate boost





## **ICRU Reference point**

- $\triangleright$  Specific recommendations are made with regard to the position of the ICRU (reference) point for particular beam combinations:
	- **For single beam:**

the point on **central axis** at the **center of the target volume**.

- **For parallel-opposed equally weighted beams:** the point on the **central axis midway between the beam entrance points**.
- **For parallel-opposed unequally weighted beams:** the point on the central axis at the **centre of the target volume**.
- **For other combinations of intersecting beams:** the **point at the intersection of the central axes** (insofar as there is no dose gradient at this point).



 $\triangleright$  Single photon beams are of limited use in the treatment of deep-seated tumors, since they give a **higher dose** near the entrance at the depth of dose maximum than at depth.





Single fields are often used for **palliative treatments** or for relatively **superficial lesions**(depth < 5-10 cm, depending on the beam energy).

For deeper lesions, a **combination** of two or more photon

beams is usually required to concentrate the dose in the target volume and spare the tissues surrounding the target as much as possible.





#### **Normalization**

- $\triangleright$  Dose distributions for multiple beams can be normalized to 100% just as for single beams:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  at  $z_{\text{max}}$  for each beam, **Example 2 at isocenter for each beam.**

 $\triangleright$  This implies that each beam is equally weighted.







## **Weighting and normalization**

A **beam weighting** may additionally applied at the normalization point for the given beam.

#### Example:

Two beams with  $z_{\mathsf{max}}$  normalization weighted as 100 : 50%

will show one beam with the 100% isodose at  $z_{\sf max}$ and the other one with 50% at  $z_{\sf max}$ .

 $\triangleright$  A similar isocentric weighted beam pair would show the 150% isodose at the isocenter.



#### **Parallel opposed beams – Equally weighted**

#### Example:

 A parallel-opposed beam pair is incident on a patient.

- $\triangleright$  Note the large rectangular area of relatively uniform dose (<15% variation).
- $\triangleright$  The isodoses have been normalized to 100% at the isocenter.



 $\triangleright$  This beam combination is well suited to a large variety of treatment sites (e.g., lung, brain, head and neck).



## **Parallel opposed beams – Unequally weighted**

#### When?

- **≻ Target volume is one sided,** but at a larger depth
	- Single beam will give very high entry dose
	- Equally weighted opposing<br>beams will give bigh dose beams will give high dose throughout the volume



- **▶ Give more weight to the beam from target side & less to the other**
- $\blacktriangleright$ Remember, it is **NOT** a magic solution

> Isocenter can be at mid-plane or at center of tumor – ratio of weights will differ

#### **Parallel opposed beams – Equally weighted**

- Weight 100:100 at iso
- At iso, it is now 200%
- **≻ Tumor is covered by 187%**
- $\triangleright$  What does this mean?







**Fortis** 

#### **Parallel opposed beams – Unequally weighted**



We need to deliver 106.4 cGy & 212.8 cGy at the iso of AP & **PA beams respectively** $54$ 

## **Multiple co-planar beams**

 $\triangleright$  Multiple coplanar beams allows for a higher dose in the beam intersection region.

#### Two examples:

**4-field box**

#### **3-field technique using wedges**



#### **Multiple co-planar beams – 4 field box**

 $\triangleright$  A 4-field box allows for a very high dose to be delivered at the**intersection** of the beams.





#### **Multiple co-planar beams – 3-field technique using wedges**

- $\triangleright$  A 3-field technique requires the use of wedges to achieve a **similar result**.
- $\triangleright$  Note that the latter can produce significant **hot spots** near the entrance of the wedged beams and well outside the targeted area





## **3-field technique using wedges – Equally weighted**

Weight 100:100:100 at iso $\triangleright$  At iso it is now 300% Target covered by 290%





We have to deliver 69 cGy at the iso of each beam

Note: For the wedged beams, we need to take into account the wedge attenuation factor (transmission factor) while calculating time or MUs

## **3-field technique using wedges – Unequally weighted**

- Weight 60:110:120 at iso (AP:RL:LL)
- $\triangleright$  At iso it is now 290%
- Target covered by 270%





We have to deliver 44.4 cGy, 81.5 cGy & 88.9 cGy at the iso of AP, RL and LL beams respectively.

## **Normalization**

- Weight 60:110:120 at iso (AP:RL:LL)
- $\triangleright$  At iso it is now 290%
- Target covered by 270%

#### **We normalize 290% to 100%**



- Target is covered by 270% or [100/290]\*[270] = 93.1%
- **≻ The question is: are you going to prescribe your dose to** 100%? or to 93.1%?
	- Prescribing at 100% tumor receives a minimum dose of 93.1%<br>of your prescription dose of your prescription dose
	- Prescribing at 93.1% tumor receives your FULL prescription<br>dose but some part is overdosed by 7.4% (or even more) dose, but some part is overdosed by 7.4% (or even more)







The field flatness changes with depth. This is attributed to an increase in scatter to primary dose ratio with increasing depth and decreasing incident photon energy off axis

