### Concurrent Chemo radiation in Nasopharyngeal Cancer

### Dr. Jitendra Kumar Singh Director Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre,

PATNA., INDIA

# Mahavir Cancer Institute & Research Centre, PATNA.



### Anatomy

The pharynx is a musculomembraneous tube. Interior of the pharynx is divided into 3 parts.

Oropharynx.

Nasopharynx.

Laryngopharynx.



#### Nasopharynx

Present behind the nasal cavity and above soft palate.

Anterior wall is deficient.

Posterior wall and roof supported by sphenoid (body),basilar part of occipital,anterior arch of atlas.



## Posterior wall and roof presents following features.

- The nasopharyngeal tonsils
- The pharyngeal bursa(pouch of Luschka)
- The pharyngeal hypophysis

Floor communicates with oropharynx through pharyngeal isthmus.

lateral wall presents the following features

- Nasopharyngeal opening of Auditory tube
- Tubal elevation
- Pharyngeal recess(Fossa of Rosenmuller)

### Cross sectional anatomy of Nasopharynx



### Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma (NPC)

- Arise from the epithelial lining of the nasopharynx.
- Fossa of Rosenmuller is the commonest site of origin.
- Results from the interplay of environmental, genetic and viral risk factors

### Incidence & Mortality (World)

- 80,000 cases with 50,000 deaths annually
  - -< 1 per 100,000/year incidence</pre>
  - -23<sup>rd</sup> most common cancer in the world
- 2-3 fold higher risk for males : females
- Broad racial/ethnic and geographic variation
  -4<sup>th</sup> most common cancer in Hong Kong
- Highest incidence in Asian, N African/Mid east, and Arctic populations

Nasopharynx, Males Age-Standardized incidence rate per 100,000



### **Other Etiologies**

#### • Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)

EBV DNA detected in ~100% of type II and III NPC Type I is not as consistent Also detected in NP dysplasia

#### Salt-Preserved Fish & Meat

 Smoking causes a 2-6 fold increased risk Particularly true for Type I

#### Occupational exposures

Formalin

- Heat/combustion exposures
- Wood dust
- Chlorophenols

### Pathology – WHO classification

### Nasopharyngeal carcinoma

- -Keratinizing squamous cell ca: type I
  - Similar with that in rest of aerodigestive tract

#### -Non-keratinizing ca: type II and III

- Differentiated non-keratinizing ca (type II)
- Undifferentiated ca (type III)

### Symptoms/signs

- Epistaxis and nasal obstruction/discharge
  - Mass in nasopharynx
- Tinnitus and hearing impairment
  - E-tube dysfunction, lateral extension
- Headache, diplopia, facial pain/numbness
  - Skull-base invasion, nerve palsy(5th/6th)
- Neck mass
- Signs of distant metastasis
  - Lung/bone/liver

#### Tumour in nasopharynx (T)

- T1 Tumour confined to the nasopharynx
- T2 Tumour extends to soft tissues of oropharynx and/or nasal fossa
  - T2a without parapharyngeal extension
  - T2b with parapharyngeal extension
- T3 Tumour invades bony structures and/or paranasal sinuses

T4 Tumour with intracranial extension and/or involvement of cranial nerves, infratemporal fossa, hypopharynx, or orbit

#### Regional lymph nodes (N)

The distribution and the prognostic effect of regional lymph node spread from nasopharynx cancer, especially of the undifferentiated type, is different from that of other head and neck mucosal cancers and justifies use of a different N classification scheme.

- NX Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0 No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1 Unilateral metastasis in lymph node(s), 6 cm or less in greatest dimension, above the supraclavicular fossa
- N2 Bilateral metastasis in lymph node(s), 6 cm or less in greatest dimension, above the supraclavicular fossa
- N3 Metastasis in a lymph node(s)
  - N3a greater than 6 cm in dimension
  - N3b extension to the supraclavicular fossa

#### AJCC

l	Stage grouping			
	Stage 0	T1s	N0	MO
	Stage I	T1	N0	MO
	Stage IIA	T2a	N0	MO
	Stage IIB	T1	N1	MO
		T2	N1	MO
		T2a	N1	MO
		T2b	N0	MO
		T2b	N1	MO
	Stage III	T1	N2	MO
		T2a	N2	Мо
		T2b	N2	MO
		T3	N0	MO
		Т3	N1	MO
		T3	N2	MO
	Stage IVA	T4	N0	MO
		Т4	N1	MO
		T4	N2	MO
	Stage IVB	Any T	N3	Мо
	Stage IVC	Any T	Any N	Μ1

AJCC

#### Standard approach to nasopharyngeal carcinoma

Stage	Denomination	Gold standard therapy
T1-2a NO MO	Early stage	- IMRT alone - Conventional RT alone
From T2b N0 M0 to T4b N3 M0 also every T N2/3 M0	Locally advanced	- Neoadjuvant platinum-based CT followed by IMRT or CCRT (platinum-based) - Concurrent cDDP and RT
Every T every N M1	Metastatic	- Exclusive CT

RT: Radiotherapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated RT; CCRT: Concurrent chemoradiotherapy; CT: Comptued tomography.

World J Clin Oncol. 2011 December 10; 2(12): 377–383. Published online 2011 December 10. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v2.i12.377.

### Treatment

- Radiation Therapy is the mainstay
  - Difficult surgical approach
  - Sensitive to radiotherapy
- RT volume (field) and dose
  - Primary tumor: 65-75 Gy
  - Involved neck: 65-70 Gy
  - Uninvolved neck: 50-60 Gy





Fig.1- nasopharyngeal cancer, tumor has spread through pharyngobasilar fascia to involve parapharyngeal fat space.

Fig.2- CT image showing level II neck nodes.

### Steps of conventional planning

- Clinical evaluation & assessment
- Patient set up supine
- Immobilised with thermoplastic mask
- Planning X-rays or CT scan done
- Conventional set up : 3 fields; 2 lateral opposed for primary and upper neck, matched to 1 anterior field for lower neck.

### Portals for conventional RT

B/L parallel opposed portals for primary & upper neck

- Superior: 2 to 2.5 cm above the zygomatic arch and splits the pituitary fossa. In case of base of skull involvement or intracranial extension it is taken 4.0 to 5.0 cm above the zygomatic arch or 1 cm above the pituitary fossa.
- Inferior : at the thyroid notch
- Anterior : encompasses posterior ½ of nasal cavity or moved forward to cover the extensions if any.
- Posterior : kept open to cover the posterior triangle.

### Portals for conventional RT

#### Single anterior portal for lower neck

- Superior : matched to the inferior border of the lateral fields.
- Inferior : extend below to cover the lower edge of clavicles.
- Lateral : cover medial 2/3<sup>rd</sup> of the clavicle.

### Portals for conventional RT





### Dose prescription

- Phase I : 40 to 44 GY in 20 to 22 fractions @ 2GY/#.
- Phase II : fields are shrinked to avoid the spinal cord. The primary tumor is boosted to an additional 20 to 25 GY.
  T1 & T2 tumor : 60 to 65 GY
  T3 & T4 tumor : 70 to 75 GY.

Dose to neck nodes : 45 to 50 GY to N0 neck. if nodes are palpable, an additional boost is given preferably by Electrons.

### Morbidity from RT

#### Acute Toxicity

- Mucositis
- Dermatitis
- Pharyngitis
- Otitis

#### • Chronic Toxicity

Xerostomia sub cutaneous fibrosis radiation myelitis cranial neuropathy endocrine dysfunction temporal lobe necrosis hearing loss otitis media

#### Dose-limiting organ

- Brain stem
- Spinal cord
- Pituitary-hypothalamic axis
- Temporal lobes
- Eyes
- Middle/inner ears
- Parotid glands

Need for newer techniques **3DCRT** IMRT IGRT SRS (boost) A Rapid arc VMAT Proton



 Development of computerized 3D treatment plans is an important technical advance for Nasopharyngeal cancer with its typically concave tumor volume and proximity to critical structures.



### Rationale of IMRT in cancer nasopharynx

- 1. Anatomically complex H&N region
- 2. Treat target volumes adjacent to critical or sensitive normal tissues
- 3. Lack of organ motion in the H&N region
- 4. Allows for dose escalation , allows for concomitant boost.

### Steps of IMRT

- Clinical evaluation & assessment
- Simulation
- Planning CT/MRI/PET-CT scan
- Target volume Delineation: Gross target volume, Clinical target volume, Planning target volume.
- Dose prescription : PTV dose and Organ at risk (OAR) constraint
- IMRT Planning, Dose Volume Histogram
- Quality Assurance
- Execution of IMRT

### Steps of IMRT



### **Contouring guidelines**

- GTV = gross disease
- CTV = entire nasopharynx, sphenoid sinus, cavernous sinus, base of skull, posterior ½ of nasal cavity, posterior 1/3 of maxillary sinuses, post. Ethmoid sinus, pterygoid fossa, lateral & posterior pharyngeal wall, retropharyngeal nodes, & b/L cervical nodes including level V & SCF.
- PTV = 5mm to 1cm.

## Contouring of primary disease and palpable neck nodes





### **Dose prescription**

- PTV 1 : 70GY/35# @ 2GY/#
- PTV2 : 61.25GY/35# @ 1.75GY/#
- PTV 3 : 52.5 GY/30# @ 1.75GY/#

#### OAR Constraints

OARs	Constraints	
Spinal cord	Dmax ≤ 40 Gy	
Brainstem	Dmax ≤ 54 Gy V55< 5 cc V50 <few cc<="" td=""></few>	
Optical nerves	Dmax ≤ 50 Gy	
Chiasma	Dmax ≤ 50 Gy	
Mandible	Dmax ≤ 66 Gy (Dmax ≤ 100% prescribed dose – no hot spots)	
Parotids	V15<67% V30<50% V45<25% Dmean<30 Gy as low as possible with priority for PTV coverage	

OARs	Constraints
Larynx	V20<60%
	V30<50%
	V50<20%
Tyroid	∨45<50%
	V50<40%
Esophagous	V20<60%
	V30<30%
	V50<20%
Mucosa	V20< 50%
	V30< 40%
	V50< 20%
Lung apex	V30< 50%
Inner Hear	Dmax<50 Gy
Bone	Dmax< 65 Gy
	V55<20%

Try to optimize "as low as possible" without compromising the PTV coverage

### **Plan evaluation and Treatment**



#### **Comparison of different treatment plans**



Axial dose distributions through the center of the nasopharynx and neck for the intensitymodulated radiation therapy (IMRT) [(a), (b)], three-dimensional (3D) conformal [(c), (d)], and traditional [(e), (f)] treatment plans. Note the relatively poor coverage of the skull base and medial nodal regions using the traditional plan and the improved dose conformality of the IMRT plan.

### **Results of Radiotherapy alone**

STAGE	5 Yr SURVIVAL
EARLY DISEASE	90 – 95%
LOCALLY ADVANCED	50%

To improve the results in locally advanced cases chemotherapy was incorporated.

### Chemoradiation in Cancer Nasopharynx

- It is the most chemo and radiosensitive entity of all head & neck cancer.
- High incidence of distant metastasis.
- Integration of chemotherapy in radiotherapy has resulted in improved disease outcomes.

### Chemo radiotherapy

#### An improved therapeutic index is the goal

- More effect of chemo radiotherapy on the tumor compared to the effect of chemo radiotherapy on normal tissue toxicity
- Classically there are 4 ways to define the interaction
  - spatial cooperation
  - toxicity independence
  - radioprotectors
  - radiation sensitizers
    - Steel & Peckham IJROBP 5:85, 1979

### Locally advanced disease

 Various trials and meta-analysis have shown a clear advantage in terms of locoregional control, disease free & overall survival in favour of addition of chemotherapy to radiation.
Incorporate chemotherapy

Induction (neo adjuvant) Concurrent ➢Adjuvant Combination Induction -> Concurrent Concurrent — Adjuvant

Chemo-radiation trials

Trial	Phase	Pts	Study design	Main end-point	Results	
Lin JC et al[ <sup>4</sup> ]	III	284	Exclusive RT alone vs cDDP-5FU + RT	5-year DFS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.0012$ )	
Chan AT et al[ <sup>5</sup> ]	III	350	Exclusive RT alone vs cDDP-5FU + RT	2-year PFS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.016$ )	
Zhang L et al[ <sup>12</sup> ]	III(m)	1608	Exclusive RT alone vs cDDP based CT + RT	5-year OS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.001$ )	
Yang AK et al[ <sup>13</sup> ]	III(m)	1993	Exclusive RT alone vs cDDP based CT + RT	5-year OS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.05$ )	
Lu H et al[ <sup>17</sup> ]	II	22	IMRT + cDDP	1 year OS	96%	
Ekenel M et al[ <sup>34</sup> ]	II	100	IMRT+ cDDP-Cet	ORR	100%	

RT: Radiotherapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated RT; CT: Comptued tomography; ORR: Overall response rate; DFS: Disease-free survival.

World J Clin Oncol. 2011 December 10; 2(12): 377–383. Published online 2011 December 10. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v2.i12.377.

#### Meta- analysis of chemoradiation trials

- The main objective of this meta-analysis was to determine the clinical benefit of concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) compared with radiation alone (RT) in the treatment of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) patients in endemic geographic areas.
- This is the first meta-analysis of CCRT vs. RT alone in NPC treatment which included studies (7 TRIALS, 1608 PTS) only done in endemic area. *The results confirmed that CCRT was more beneficial compared with RT alone.*

The role of concurrent chemoradiotherapy in the treatment of locoregionally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma among endemic population: a meta-analysis of the phase III randomized trials BMC Cancer. 2010; 10: 558. Published online 2010 October 15.

# C 5 years Overall Survival



### Meta- analysis of chemoradiation trials

Another meta-analysis included 18 trials enrolling a total of 1993 patients from China. Yank AK, Liu TR, Guo x, QI gl, Chen FJ.

ARM	3Yr SURVIVAL	5Yr SURVIVAL	DISTANT METS. RATE
RT	56.38%	41.09%	38.71%
CT + RT	68.74%	51.91%	26.19%

The result demonstrated that chemoradiotherapy increased overall survival by 12% at 3 years, and 11% at 5 years after treatment. After chemoradiotherapy, the rate of distant metastasis was reduce by 12%.

#### Drugs used in Chemoradiation trials

- Cisplatin alone or in combination with 5-FU , Paclitaxel.
- Dose schedule: Cisplatin 30mg/m<sup>2</sup>/weekly for 6 to 7 cycles or, 100mg/m<sup>2</sup> 3 weekly.

Interestingly, a combined analysis of two large studies (NPC-9901 and the NPC-9902) revealed that the dose of cisplatin during the concurrent phase of concurrent chemoradiotherapy had a significant impact on locoregional control.

## IMRT ± Chemo for NPC (Single Institutions)

Center	N	Stage	FU (mo)	LC DM-Free
Bucci IJROBP, 2004(abs)	118	50% T3-4	30	96% 72% (4-year data)
Kam IJROBP, 2004	63	51% T3-4	29	92% 79% (3-year data)
Wolden IJROBP, 2006	74	51% T3-4	35	91% 78% (3-year data)

## Adjuvant chemotherapy The Intergroup- 0099

- The Intergroup-0099 was the first randomized trial to compare concurrent chemo-radiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy with RT alone.
- In this study, concurrent chemo-radiotherapy consisted of cisplatin (100 mg/m<sup>2</sup> every 21 d) for three cycles, followed by adjuvant cisplatin (80 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on day 1) and 5-fluorouracil (1000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> on days 1-4 every 4 wk).
- A statistically significant advantage in the chemo-radiation arm was seen in terms of overall survival, disease-freesurvival, locoregional failure rate and time to distant metastases.

#### Adjuvant chemotherapy trials

Trial	Phase	Pts	Study design	Main end-point	Results
Al-Sarraf M et al[ <sup>18</sup> ]	III	147	Exclusive RT alone vs CCRT followed by cDDP-5FU	3-year PFS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.01$ )
Chen Y et al[ <sup>19</sup> ]	III	316	Exclusive RT alone vs CCRT followed by cDDP-5FU	2-year OS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.003$ )
Lee AW et al[ <sup>20</sup> ]	III	348	Exclusive RT alone vs CCRT followed by cDDP-5FU	5-year PFS	Experimental arm better ( $P < 0.035$ )
Park KH et al[ <sup>21</sup> ]	II	43	cDDP-5-FU + RT followed by cDDP-Epi-Ble CT	ORR	100%
Hu W et al[ <sup>22</sup> ]	II	54	w Pac + RT followed by cDDP-Pac CT	ORR	100%
Leung TW et al[ <sup>10</sup> ]	II	48	HFRT + cDDP based CT followed by cDDP-5FU CT	3-year DFS	71%

RT: Radiotherapy; CT: Comptued tomography; ORR: Overall response rate; CCRT: Concurrent chemoradiotherapy; DFS: Disease-free survival.

World J Clin Oncol. 2011 December 10; 2(12): 377–383. Published online 2011 December 10. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v2.i12.377.

#### Neo- adjuvant chemotherapy

- The role of neo-adjuvant chemotherapy followed by concurrent chemo-radiotherapy or RT is a matter of outstanding interest.
- Several clinical phase II trials from Western countries have proved that induction chemotherapy based on the administration of cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil and taxanes, may significantly improve treatment outcomes in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck.
- An interesting approach may be to employ the same chemotherapy or a similar regimen in locally advanced NPC patients.

#### Neoadjuvant chemotherapy trials

Trial	Phase	Pts	Study design	Main end-point	Results
Al-Amro A et al[ <sup>23</sup> ]	II	110	Neo cDDP-Epi and followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	100%
Airoldi M et al[ <sup>24</sup> ]	II	30	Neo cbdca-Pac followed by RT + cbdca-Pac	ORR	87%
Ferrari D et al[ <sup>25</sup> ]	II	34	Neo cDDP-5FU followed by RT + cDDP	ORR	85.3%
Lu X et al[ <sup>26</sup> ]	II	58	Neo cbdca-Tax followed by cbdca + RT (arm A) vs neo cbdca-5FU followed by cbdca + RT (armB)	1-year DFS	no difference between arm A and B
Mosatafa E et al[ <sup>27</sup> ]	II	36	Neo cDDP-Pac followed by cDDP-RT	ORR	89%
Hui EP et al[ <sup>28</sup> ]	II	65	Neo cDDP-Tax followed by cDDP + RT (arm A) vs cDDP + RT (arm B)	3-year OS	Arm A better than arm B ( $P < 0.012$ )
Bossi P et al[ <sup>29</sup> ]	II	45	Neo cDDP-Tax-5FU followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	98%
Cho S et al[ <sup>30</sup> ]	II	19	Neo cDDP-Tax-5FU followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	93%
Bae WK et al[ <sup>32</sup> ]	II	33	Neo cDDP-Tax-5FU followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	99%
Kong L et al[ <sup>6</sup> ]	II	52	Neo cDDP-Tax-5FU followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	90.2%
Ekenel M et al[ <sup>34</sup> ]	II	59	Neo cDDP-Tax followed by cDDP + RT	ORR	95%
Lin S et al[ <sup>35</sup> ]	II	370	Neo cDDP based CT followed by IMRT	3-year OS	90%

RT: Radiotherapy; IMRT: Intensity modulated RT; CT: Comptued tomography; ORR: Overall response rate; DFS: Disease-free survival.

World J Clin Oncol. 2011 December 10; 2(12): 377–383. Published online 2011 December 10. doi: 10.5306/wjco.v2.i12.377.

# Conclusion

Although several cytotoxic agents have been used both in the neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting with promising results, exclusive concurrent chemoradiotherapy remains the recommended approach at the present time, as additional evidence is required to support the use of chemotherapy in the adjuvant/neo-adjuvant setting.