

"Altered fractionation- hyper, hypo & accelerated fractionation"

Dr. K. Kamalaksh Shenoy _{MD, DNB, DMRT} Additional Professor and Head, Department of Radiation Oncology, Kasturba Medical College Hospital, Attavar, Mangalore



Fractionation in Radiotherapy started after the French Experiments on ram in 1920

Basis of Fractionation in RT: Dividing a dose into no. of #s which

- Spares normal tissues by Repair of sublethal damage and Repopulation
- Increases damage to tumor by Reoxygenation and Reassortment





Fractionation allows

- Normal tissue to recover & repair sub-lethal damage from previous #
- Killing of the tumor cells unable to sustain the first fraction



Most of cells exposed to low-LET rays experience a higher chance of sub-lethal damage than those with high-LET rays



When a single dose is divided into two fractions,

- An additional dose is required to compensate for repair.
- This dose is dependent on size of single dose & relative size of 2 smaller #s



All normal tissues are not the same.

There is clear distinction between early responding (skin, mucosa, intestinal epithelium) & late responding (spinal cord) tissues.

The time after the start of a fractionation regimen at which extra dose is required to compensate for cellular proliferation is quite different for late as opposed to early responding tissues.

Hence prolonging overall time within the normal radiotherapy range has no sparing effect on late reactions but a large sparing effect on early reactions.



Extra dose required to counteract proliferation only as a function of time after starting daily irradiation in rodents.



Hence early reactions such as skin or mucosal reactions can be dealt with by prolonging overall time.

While this strategy overcomes the problem of early reactions, it has no effect on the late reactions.



Dose–Response Relationship



Dose

The dose response relationship for late responding tissues is more curved than for early responding tissues.



Dose–Response Relationship

For Early effects α/β is large,

- α dominates at low doses
- The dose response curve has a marked initial slope and does not bend until higher doses.
- The linear and quadratic components of cell killing are not equal until about 10 Gy.

For late effects α/β is small

- β term has an influence at low doses.
- The dose response curve bends at lower doses at appear more curvy
- The linear and quadratic components of cell killing are equal by about 2 Gy.



Dose–Response Relationship

Clinical and lab data also suggests that there is a consistent difference between early and late responding tissues in their response to changing fractionation patterns.

The dose response relationship for late responding tissues is more curved than for early responding tissues.

There is larger α/β for early than for late effects.

 α/β is the dose at which the linear (α) and the quadratic (β) components of cell killing are equal: i.e., $\alpha D = \beta D^2$.



Factors determining Late Effects:

- Fraction size is the dominant factor
- But overall treatment time has no influence.

Factors determining Early Effects

• Both fraction size and overall treatment time









Tumor shrinks and does not re-grow years later Shows considerable damage but repairs and is intact years later Very little damage to late responding tissues even years later



Response to Small no. of large







Tumor is shrinking and may be eradicated. Chance of recurrence higher Shows considerable damage but repairs and is intact years later Show considerable damage. This will manifest only later when these tissues are called upon to divide.



Accelerated Repopulation

Treatment with any cytotoxic agent including radiation triggers surviving cells in a tumor to divide faster that before. This is **Accelerated Repopulation**.

Radiotherapy should be completed as soon after it has begun as is practicable.

It may be better to delay initiation of treatment than to introduce delays during treatment.

If overall treatment time is too long, the effect of later dose fractions will be prejudiced as the surviving clonogens in tumor have been triggered into rapid repopulation.

There is evidence in some human cancers that the RT produces poorer results if preceded by a course of CT caused due to AR triggered by CT.



The "Standard Fractionation" for RT has evolved into 5 # a week by empiricism and convenience .

Other alternative fractionations proposed include:

- Hyperfractionation
- Accelerated Fractionation
- CHART
- Hypofractionation
- Split Course



Fractionation Schedule	Schedule					
Conventional	IIIII 200 cGy	lllll per day; 5	lllll days a we	lllll eek	11111	
Hyperfractionation	 115 cGy	 X 2 per da	 ay; 5 days	 a week.	11 11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11 11
Accelerated Fractionation	 150-200	 cGy X2p	ll ll ll ll ll ll er day; 5 d	ll II II II II II days a wee	 ek	
CHART	<pre>II II II</pre>					
Hypofractionation	 400 -500	 cGy per d	II ay; twice	II a week	11	
Split Course	 > 250 cG	iiiii y per day	$\text{Rest} \rightarrow$		11111	



	Conventional	Split Course	Accelerated MDF	Hyperfractionation
For Tumors of	Average	Average/	Rapid	Slow
growth rate		Slow		
Acute effects	Standard	Standard/	Greater	Standard / Greater
		Greater		
Late effects	Standard	Greater	Standard /Greater	Lower
Advantages		Shorter	Destroys more	Spares late
		treatment	tumor cells and	damage, allows
			prevents tumor cell	reoxygenation;
			repopulation	allows stem cell
			Less overall	repopulation
			treatment time	
Disadvantages		May permit		More fractions
		tumor		
		repopulation		



Peschel and Fisher reviewed the rationale for multiple daily fractionations

They emphasized that any alteration in fractionation schedule is potentially harmful and must be approached with great caution

They enlisted the following radiobiological principles for these altered fractionation:



Prolonging overall treatment time favors acute over late tissues; provides for oxygenation of originally hypoxic cells.

Increase in number of fractions favors a cells that repairs sublethal damage; provides opportunity for redistribution through the cell cycle between the treatments.

Close spacing of radiation treatments could significantly favor a subpopulation of cells that repairs sublethal damage more rapidly.



Multiple daily fractionation (MDF)

 Could be more effective in rapidly growing tumors with a high growth fraction,

Hypofractionation: (1, 2 or 3 fractions a week with higher doses) May be more efficacious for slow growing tumors (large D_o cell populations) or for tumor with a large D_q (e.g., melanoma).



Thus the advantages of prolongation of treatment are

- To spare early reactions and
- To allow adequate reoxygenation in tumors.

Excessive prolongation, however has two disadvantages.

- It can decrease deceptively the acute reactions without sparing the late injury
- It will allow the surviving tumor cells to proliferate during treatment



Hyperfractionation

Basic aim is to further separate the early and late effects.

The overall treatment time remains at 6-8 weeks, but since 2 # per day are used, the no. of fractions is doubled to 60 - 80.

The dose must be increased since the dose per fraction has been decreased.

The intent is to further reduce the late effects, while achieving the same or better tumor control and the same or slightly increased early effects.



Hyperfractionation

Withers introduced a concept of *flexure dose*: The point at which the dose response curve starts to bend significantly.

In practice this occurs at the dose of 0.1 of α/β .

The curve bends at the dose 1/10 of that at which the linear & quadratic components are equal.

 α/β values are about 6-12 Gy for early tissues & 1-5 Gy for late tissues.

Thus the flexure dose is 60 - 120 cGy for early tissues and 10 - 50 cGy for late tissues.



Hyperfractionation

 Prospective controlled clinical trials in the United States and by the European Cooperative Group have shown that the hyperfractionation can improve local control in head and neck cancer by 15%.

O

EORTC Trial:

- Comparison b/w conventional 70 Gy in 35 # over 7 weeks to 80.5 Gy in 1.15 Gy per fraction, 2 fractions per day and 5 days a week in 7 weeks.
- The results suggest that acute reactions were more severe but late reactions the same with an increased effect on the tumor.



Accelerated Fractionation

Involves an approx. conventional total dose and fraction, given 2 # a day, the overall treatment time is approx. halved.

In practice: It is never possible to achieve this since early effects become limiting.

Usually necessary to interpose rest period in the middle of Rx to slightly reduce the dose with early effects as the limiting factor.

Intent: to reduce repopulation in rapidly proliferating tumors.

No change in the late effects, since the number of fractions and the dose per fraction are unaltered.



Accelerated Fractionation

- Saunders et al, delivered accelerated fractionation, given 3 times a day for 12 consecutive days without a period of rest to a total dose of 50.4 Gy.
- The treatment was well tolerated in a series of patients with bronchial and head and neck cancers.

Saunders et al



CHART

CHART is similar to AF but patients are also treated at weekends to further reduce the overall treatment time.

CHART has recently been shown to improve survival in lung cancer patients compared to standard fractionation.

However both AF & CHART increase acute side effects and due to logistic problems they are not in wide use.



Hypofractionation

A small number of fractions but the dose per fraction is higher.

Overall dose must be lower than the conventional radiotherapy, due to the risk of late side effects.

This is particularly useful in palliative situations as:

- The overall treatment time is short &
- The large daily doses can be particularly effective in fast growing, aggressive symptomatic cancers.



Split Course Radiation

Large doses per fractions are used daily, increasing the risk of late side effects.

Therefore a treatment gap of few weeks rest is allowed before continuing.

This is mainly used in palliative conditions.



Conclusion

Dividing a dose into a no. of # spares normal tissues by repair of sublethal damage and repopulation

At the same time it increases the damage to tumor by reoxygenation & reassortment.

3 cell populations that govern radiation are "Tumor", "Acute Responding Tissues" & "Late Responding Tissues".

Fraction size is a dominant factor in determining late effects, while overall treatment time has little influence.

By contrast, fraction size and overall treatment time both determine the response of acutely responding tissues.



Conclusion

Altered Fraction	Overall Rx Time	Dose per #	No. of #	Total Dose	Intent
Hyper Fraction	Same	Reduced	Doubled/ More	Increased	Tumor Control: Same/ Better Acute Effects: Slightly more Late Effects: Less
Accelera ted Fraction	Reduced	Same	Same; but 2-3 # per day	Same	Tumor Control: Better Acute Effects: Pronounced Late Effects: Same
CHART	Reduced further	Same	Same	Same	Tumor Control: Better Acute Effects: Pronounced Late Effects: Same
Hypo Fraction	Reduced	Large	Less	Reduced	Tumor Control: Poor Acute Effects: Less Late Effects: High
Split Course	Reduced	Large	Less	Reduced	Tumor Control: Poor Acute Effects: Less Late Effects: High



