

Landmark Trials in Brain Metastasis



Dr Tanweer Shahid Apollo Hospital, Kolkata



Int. J. Radiation Oncology Biol. Phys., Vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 745-751, 1997 Copyright © 1997 Elsevier Science Inc. Printed in the USA. All rights reserved 0360-301697 \$17.00 + .00

PII S0360-3016(96)00619-0

Clinical Investigation

RECURSIVE PARTITIONING ANALYSIS (RPA) OF PROGNOSTIC FACTORS IN THREE RADIATION THERAPY ONCOLOGY GROUP (RTOG) BRAIN METASTASES TRIALS

LAURIE GASPAR, M.D., * CHARLES SCOTT, M.S., MARVIN ROTMAN, M.D.,*

RTOG devised 3 prognostic groups using RPA based on 1200 patients treated on prospective clinical trials.



VOLUME 30 · NUMBER 4 · FEBRUARY 1 2012

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

ORIGINAL REPORT

Era of Graded Prognostic Assessment

Summary Report on the Graded Prognostic Assessment: An Accurate and Facile Diagnosis-Specific Tool to Estimate Survival for Patients With Brain Metastases

Paul W. Sperduto, Norbert Kased, David Roberge, Zhiyuan Xu, Ryan Shanley, Xianghua Luo, Penny K. Sneed,

Purpose of GPA is to identify significant diagnosisspecific prognostic factors in an updated era (1985-2007) as compared with the RTOG RPA

CRITERIA	SCORE			GPA score [†]	
• Age	• Each criteria		0	0,5	1
KPSNumber of	of 0,0.5 and 1	Age, yr	≥60	50~59	<50
brain		KPS	<70	70~80	90~100
metastasis		Number of lesions	>3	2~3	1
 Presence or absence of 		Extracranial metastases	Present	-	None
extracranial metastasis					

Median survivals stratified by diagnosis and DS-GPA score for patients with newly diagnosed brain metastases.

Diagnosis Overall median survival (mo)	Overall median	Diagnosis-specific GPA					
	survival (mo)	GPA: 0-1 Mcdian survival (mo)	GPA: 1.5-2.0 Median survival (mo)	GPA: 2.5-3.0 Median survival (mo)	GPA: 3.5-4.0 Median survival (mo)		
NSCLC	7.0	3.0	(5.5)	(9.4)	(14.8)		
SCLC	4.9	2.8	4.9	7.7	17.1		
Melanoma	6.7	3.4	4.7	8.8	13.2		
Renal cell	9.6	3.3	7.3	11.3	14.8		
GI	5.4	3.1	4.4	6.9	13.5		
Breast	13.8	(3.4)	(7.7)	(15.1)	(25.3)		
Total	7.2	3.1	5.4	9.6	16.7		

GI, gastrointestinal; GPA, graded prognostic assessment; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; SCLC, small cell lung cancer.

LESSONs from HISTORY When you find them SINGLE.





SURGERY FOLLOWED BY WBRT Vs **WBRT ALONE**

OBIGINAL ARTICLE

A Randomized Trial of Surgery in the Treatment of Single Metastases to the Brain

Roy A. Patchell, M.D., Phillip A. Tibbs, M.D., John W. Walsh, M.D., Robert J. Dempsey, M.D., Yosh Maruyama, M.D., Richard J. Kryscio, Ph.D., William R. Markesbery, M.D., John S. Macdonald, M.D., and Byron Young, M.D.

> February 22, 1990 N Engl J Med 1990; 322:494 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM1990022 **NEJM 1990**

39 References 1849 Citing Articles Letters

Figures/Media

Article

Annals of NEUROLOGY

An Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology Society

ANR AMERICAN

Original Article

Treatment of single brain metastasis: Radiotherapy alone or combined with neurosurgery

Dr., MD, PhD Charles J, Vecht, MD, PhD Hanny Haaxma-Reiche, MD, PhD Evert M, Noordijk, MD, PhD George W. Padberg, MD Joan H. C. Voormolen, MD Foppe H. Hoekstra, MD, PhD Joseph Th. J. Tans, MD Nanno Lamboolj, MD Jan A. L. Metsaars, MD, PhD A. Rolf V Hermans ... See fewer authors ~

First published: June 1993 | https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.-

ANNALS OF NEUROLOGY 1993

CANCER 1996

Contraction of

SURGERY + WBRT is better than

WBRT alone

Cancer

Original Article 🛛 🖻 Free Access

A randomized trial to assess the efficacy of surgery in addition to radiotherapy in patients with a single cerebral metastasis

Arlan H. Mintz M.D., M.Sc., John Kestle M.D., M.Sc., F.R.C.S.(C), Michel P. Rathbone M.B., Ch.B., Ph.D., F.R.C.P., Laurie Gaspar M.D., F.R.C.P.(C), Herman Hugenholtz M.D., F.R.C.S.(C), Barbara Fisher M.D., F.R.C.P.(C), Graeme Duncan M.D., F.R.C.P.(C), Peter Skingley, Gary Foster Ph.D., Mark Levine M.D., M.Sc., F.R.C.P.(C) ... See fewer authors ~

First published: 1 October 1996 https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19961001)78:7<1470::AID-CNCR14>3.0.

SURGERY FOLLOWED BY WBRT Vs SURGERY ALONE





Diagnosis and treatment of brain metastases from solid tumors: guidelines from the European Association of Neuro-Oncology (EANO)

Guidelines

EANO



Clinical Practice Guideline

ASTRO

Radiation Therapy for Brain Metastases: An ASTRO Clinical Practice Guideline

Vinai Gondi, MD,^{a,*} Glenn Bauman, MD,^b Lisa Bradfield, BA,^c

Neuro-Oncology

24(3), 331-357, 2022 | https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noab262 | Advance Access date 21 December 2021

ASCO-SNO-ASTRO

Treatment for Brain Metastases: ASCO-SNO-ASTRO Guideline

Neuro-Oncology

24(10), 1613-1646, 2022 | https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noac118 | Advance Access date 28 June 2022

SNO

Brain metastases: A Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO) consensus review on current management and future directions

Single Lesion

Surgery

Lesion > 4cm

Mass effect

Surgery Vs SRS

- No high quality RCT comparing Surgery Vs SRS in single mets.
- Most studies comparing Surgery Vs SRS report similar outcomes.
- They are Non-RCT & may be affected by selection bias (class IIIb).

-6210	
SRS	
No mass effec	
Eloquent area	s
Lesion <4cm	
Unwilling for S	
Medically inoperable/unf	it

After Surgical Resection for single mets... What to give??? WBRT or SRS to surgical cavity...

- WBRT is the standard of care to improve intracranial control following resection.
- SRS to the surgical cavity : Used to reduce cognitive toxicity.
- High-level comparative data lacking.
- SRS on survival and cognitive outcomes compared with WBRT in patients with resected brain metastasis.

Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery compared with whole brain radiotherapy for resected metastatic brain disease (NCCTG N107C/CEC·3): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial



LANCET 2017

Paul D Brown, Karla V Ballman, Jane H Cerhan, S Keith Anderson, Xiomara W Carrero, Anthony C Whitton, Jeffrey Greenspoon, Ian F Parney, Nadia N I Laack, Jonathan B Ashman, Jean-Paul Bahary, Costas G Hadjipanayis, James J Urbanic, Fred G Barker II, Elana Farace, Deepak Khuntia, Caterina Giannini, Jan C Buckner, Evanthia Galanis, David Roberge

SURGERY FOLLOWED BY SRS **VS** SURGERY FOLLOWED BY WBRT

- No difference in overall survival.
- Shorter time to intracranial failure: SRS 6.4 mos vs. WBRT 27.5 mos
- Improved overall QOL at 3 months with SRS
- Worse surgical bed control, LC and distant brain control with SRS

Conclusion:

After resection of a brain metastasis, SRS should be considered one of the standards of care as a less toxic alternative to

WBRT.

Post-operative stereotactic radiosurgery versus observation for completely resected brain metastases: a single-centre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial

Anita Mahajan, Salmaan Ahmed, Mary Frances McAleer, Jeffrey S Weinberg, Jing Li, Paul D Brown, Stephen Settle, Sujit S Prabhu, Frederick F Lang, Nicholas Levine, Susan McGovern, Erik Sulman, Ian E McCutcheon, Syed Azeem, Daniel Cahill, Claudio Tatsui, Amy B Heimberger, Sherise Ferguson, Amol Ghia, Franco Demonte, Shaan Raza, Nandita Guha-Thakurta, James Yang, Raymond Sawaya, K Ganesh Rao

SURGERY FOLLOWED BY SRS VS SURGERY ALONE

- SRS of the surgical cavity for 1, 2, or 3 metastases lowers local recurrence compared to observation.
- SRS after brain metastasis resection could be an alternative to WBRT.

What do we learn from these 2 trials? (Brown et al & Mahajan et al)

- Surgery alone is inadequate t/t.
- Surgery + WBRT is probably too much given the toxicities.
- SRS is a balance between preservation of neurocognition / QOL and improved intracranial tumor control.
- SRS is a reasonable postoperative t/t for resected brain metastases and a good trade-off between surgery alone and surgery + WBRT.

The local control rates were lower than expected.

Possible reasons:

- 1. Low BED delivered, especially for larger cavities
- 2. Surgical tract not included
- 3. Radiation necrosis vs. progression

CLINICAL INVESTIGATION

Brain

SINGLE DOSE RADIOSURGICAL TREATMENT OF RECURRENT PREVIOUSLY IRRADIATED PRIMARY BRAIN TUMORS AND BRAIN METASTASES: FINAL REPORT OF RTOG PROTOCOL 90-05

Edward Shaw, M.D.,* Charles Scott, Ph.D.,[†] Luis Souhami, M.D.,[‡] Robert Dinapoli, M.D.,[§]

Size of lesion	Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD)
<u><</u> 2cm	24 Gy
2.1 – 3 cm	18 Gy
3.1 – 4 cm	15 Gy

- Radiation Necrosis (RN) is the dose limiting toxicity.
- V10 and V12 are the predictive factors for RN.

Postoperative stereotactic radiosurgery compared with whole brain radiotherapy for resected metastatic brain disease (NCCTG N107C/CEC·3): a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial

Paul D Brown, Karla V Ballman, Jane H Cerhan, S Keith Anderson, Xiomara W Carrero, Anthony C Whitton, Jeffrey Greenspoon, Ian F Parney, Nadia N I Laack, Jonathan B Ashman, Jean-Paul Bahary, Costas G Hadjipanayis, James J Urbanic, Fred G Barker II, Elana Farace, Deepak Khuntia, Caterina Giannini, Jan C Buckner, Evanthia Galanis, David Roberce BROWN et al. LANCET 2017 Post-operative stereotactic radiosurgery versus observation for completely resected brain metastases: a single-centre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial

Anita Mahajan, Salmaan Ahmed, Mary Frances McAleer, Jeffrey S Weinberg, Jing Li, Paul Brown, Stephen Settle, Sujit S Prabhu, Frederick F Lang, Nicholas Levine, Susan McGovern, Erik Sulman, Ian E McCutcheon, Syed Azeem, Daniel Cahill, Claudio Tatsul, Amy B Heimberger, Sherise Ferguson, Amol Ghia, Franco Demonte, Shaan Raza, Nandita Guha-Thakurta, James Yang, Raymond Sawaya, Kenneth R Hess, Ganesh Rao MAHAJAN et al. LANCET 2017

	Volume of target		SRS	
(SURGICAL CAVITY	SRS Dose	(Surgical cavity + 1 mm)		Dose
+ 2 mm) VOLUME		≤ 10 cc		16 Gy
< 4.2 cc	20 Gy			
4-2–7-9 cc	18 Gy	10.1 – 15 cc 1		14 Gy
8-0–14-3 cc	17 Gy	> 15 cc		12 Gy
14-4–19-9 сс	15 Gv			
		Organ	Dose co	nstraint
20-0–29-9 cc	14 Gy	Brainstem	1 cc < 12	2 Gy
≥30 cc	12 Gy	Optic Nv & Tract	Max <9 0	Зу

Journey Continues...



1-3 brain mets... WBRT + SRS vs WBRT alone (Lessons from RTOG 9508...)

Whole brain radiation therapy with or without stereotactic radiosurgery boost for patients with one to three brain metastases: phase III results of the RTOG 9508 randomised trial

Month

LANCET 2004

WBRT + SRS Better local control and performance status (i.e. functional • autonomy, KPS) at 6 months Survival advantage only in patients with single metastasis (6.5 mo vs 4.9 mo). 60p=0.0132 patients with single Survival by tumour size 40 RT+SRS MST 6.5 months WBRT+SRS: metastasis <2 cm RT alone MST 4-9 months 20 WBRT alone: metastasis <2 cm WBRT+SRS: metastasis ≥2 cm* WBRT alone; metastasis ≥2 cm p=0.0393 *p=0.0449 vs WBRT alone 18 24



Months from randomization

Months from randomization

Can we do away with WBRT for limited Brain metastasis?

	Better LC with SRS+WBRT LC at 1 yr (%)		No OS benefit with addition of WBRT OS (months)		Poor cognition with WBRT
	SRS	SRS+WBRT	SRS	SRS + WBRT	0
JROSG – 99-1 Aoyama et al (JAMA 2006)	72.5	88.7 (p =0.002)	8.0	7.5 (p=0.42)	What kills earlier??
MDAC Chang et al (Lancet 2009)	67	100 (p =0.012)	15.2 (use of systemic therapy)	5.7 (p=0.03)	oRo. mi
EORTC 22952-26001 Kocher et al (JCO 2011)	69	81 (p =0.04)	10.7	10.9 (p=0.80)	Higher HRQOL in SRS alone arm
ALLIANCE – NCCTG – N0574 Brown et al (JAMA 2016)	72.8	90.1	10.4	7.4 (p=0.92)	Decline in immediate 8 delayed recall, verbal fluency, executive functioning in WBRT arm

1 – 4 Brain metastasis : Meta Analysis SRS with WBRT Vs SRS ALONE



International Journal of Radiation Oncology biology • physics

www.redjournal.org

CrossMark

2014

Clinical Investigation

Phase 3 Trials of Stereotactic Radiosurgery With or Without Whole-Brain Radiation Therapy for 1 to 4 Brain Metastases: Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis

Arjun Sahgal, MD,* Hidefumi Aoyama, MD, PhD,[†] Martin Kocher, MD,[‡] Binod Neupane, PhD,[§] Sandra Collette, PhD,^{||} Masao Tago, MD,[¶] Prakesh Shah, MD,[#] Joseph Beyene, PhD,[§] and Eric L. Chang, MD**^{††}

< 50 years age:

- Survival advantage for SRS alone
- Distant brain relapse rates not affected by SRS alone

- >50 years age:
 - No difference in survival
 - Distant Brain failure: Risk decreased in WBRT



SRS FOR MULTIPLE BRAIN METS... (UP TO 10 METS!!!)

LANCET 2014

T CrossMark

N=1194 pt.

Stereotactic radiosurgery for patients with multiple brain metastases (JLGK0901): a multi-institutional prospective observational study

Masaaki Yamamoto*, Toru Serizawa*, Takashi Shuto, Atsuya Akabane, Yoshinori Higuchi, Jun Kawagishi, Kazuhiro Yamanaka, Yasunori Sato, Hidefumi Jokura, Shoji Yomo, Osamu Nagano, Hiroyuki Kenai, Akihito Moriki, Satoshi Suzuki, Yoshihisa Kida, Yoshiyasu Iwai, Motohiro Hayashi, Hiroaki Onishi, Masazumi Gondo, Mitsuya Sato, Tomohide Akimitsu, Kenji Kubo, Yasuhiro Kikuchi, Toru Shibasaki, Tomoaki Goto, Masami Takanashi, Yoshimasa Mori, Kintomo Takakura, Naokatsu Saeki, Etsuo Kunieda, Hidefumi Aoyama, Suketaka Momoshima, Kazuhiro Tsuchiya

- Use of SRS: (3 Groups)
 - With 1,
 - 2 to 4 or
 - 5 to 10 brain metastases.
- Result:
 - Similar OS
 - Similar t/t related toxicity Between groups with 2 to 4 & 5 to 10 mets.
- Cumulative volume of metastases, rather than the number is important.
- SRS is suitable alternative for patients up to 10 brain metastases.

SRS for > 4 brain mets: An upcoming strategy



Clinical Investigation

International Journal of Radiation Oncology biology • physics www.rec 2017 CrossMark

A Multi-institutional Prospective Observational Study of Stereotactic Radiosurgery for Patients With Multiple Brain Metastases (JLGK0901 Study Update): Irradiation-related Complications and Long-term Maintenance of Mini-Mental State Examination Scores

Masaaki Yamamoto, MD, PhD,* Toru Serizawa, MD, PhD,†

Conclusion: MMSE score maintenance comparable.

Post-SRS complication comparable.

• SRS alone for patients with 5 to 10 mets. Vs 2 to 4 mets. is doable.

Why FSRS?

- Toxicity
 - Single session SRS dose is limited by tumor size
 - Fractionation allows for repair/recovery of radiation effects in the normal tissue
 - Use stereotactic techniques to spare dose to normal tissue
 - Reirradiation
- Tumor control
 - Able to give a higher cumulative dose to larger tumors/target volumes
- Image guided frameless RT utilizing radiosurgical margins to minimize toxicity and maximize tumor control

Josh Yamada MD FRCPC, Department of Radiation Oncology Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center

FSRS – WHEN ? WHY ?

IJROBP 2016

	Single #	Multi #	P value
1 yr Local control	77 %	91 %	P =0.01
Recurrence	25	11	P = 0.03
Radionecrosis	20 %	8 %	P = 0.004
1 yr Radionecrosis	18 %	9 %	P = 0.01

CONCLUSIONS:

- Multifraction SRS : Effective t/t modality for large brain metastases.
- Better local control & reduced risk of radiation-induced radionecrosis. (Compared with Single Fraction-SRS).

DOSE FOR FSRS



BED should be more than \geq 48 Gy

- **o 30Gy in 5 fractions.**
- o 27Gy in 3 fractions.

IJROBP, October 1, 2017 Volume 99, Issue 2, Supplement, Page E85

WBRT: What"s the indication???

ASTRO EANO Poor life expectancy (less than WBRT or best supportive care 3 months). be considered should for patients with: Use of WBRT may or may not Short life expectancy significantly improve Low KPS score. symptoms from brain Progressive systemic disease. metastases. When employing initial WBRT, Comfort measures only, or monitoring of cognitive a short course (20 Gy in 5 daily

specific

functions

with

batteries is recommended

option.

fractions) WBRT, is reasonable

WBRT + optimal supportive care vs Optimal Supportive care alone

Add life to your days, not days to your life Acta Oncologica, 2010; 44: 382-388

informa





- Standard fractionation: (30 Gy in 10 fractions or 20 Gy in 5 fractions).
- No differences in OS or symptom control with 30 Gy in 10 daily fractions or 20 Gy in 5 daily fractions.
- Others: 37.5 Gy in 15 daily fractions and 40 Gy in 20 daily (or twice daily) fractions.



American Society for Radiation Oncology

Five More Things Physicians and Patients Should Question

Don't routinely add adjuvant whole brain radiation therapy to stereotactic radiosurgery for limited brain metastases.

Pros	& Cons
Adjuvant WBRT + SRS:	SRS alone:
 No OS benefit (Especially in pt. with Good PS) 	 Importance of Surveillance More risk of Distant brain failure
 Diminished cognitive function 	Better QoL without OS compromise

Preservation of Memory With Conformal Avoidance of the Hippocampal Neural Stem-Cell Compartment During JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY Whole-Brain Radiotherapy for Brain Metastases (RTOG 2014 0933): A Phase II Multi-Institutional Trial Vinai Gondi, Stephanie L. Pugh, Wolfgang A. Tome, Chip Caine, Ben Corn, Andrew Kanner, Howard Rowley, **PRESERVATION OF MEMORY WITH CONFORMAL AVOIDANCE OF** JCO, 2014 Gondi et al, USA **HIPPOCAMPAL NEURAL STEM-CELL COMPARTMENT DURING WBRT FOR** Ph II Trial **BRAIN METS - RTOG 0933** Hippocampal neural stem-cell injury during WBRT \rightarrow may play a role in memory decline. IMRT to avoid hippocampus \rightarrow may yield clinically significant neurocognitive benefit. RESULTS **TEST ARM HISTORICAL CONTROL** Brain mets 5 mm away HA-WBRT associated with significant memory Matched eligibility from Hippocampus criteria preservation **Primary Solid tumors** Control arm of the PCI-Mean relative decline in HVLT-R DR from baseline to 4 except SCLC/ GCT months: 7% for HA vs 30 % for standard, p= .001 P-120-9801 phase III **RTOG RPA class I or II** trial Cognitive decline greater with \uparrow age, \uparrow D100% of **OBJECTIVES** Hippocampus, previous neurological symptoms **QOL preserved with HA-WBRT** -**Cognitive Decline assessed by HVLT-R DR (Hopkins** Risk of developing brain mets in the HA region \rightarrow low Verbal Learning Test- Revised, Delayed recall) **CONCLUSION QOL** assessment **Comparison with historical** control **STANDARD WBRT** - HA-WBRT can be safely delivered for brain mets HA-WBRT - Hippocampal neural stem-cell niche is central to RT-**IMRT: 30 Gy/10 Fr** 30 Gy/10 Fr induced memory decline



Let"s Summarise



Take Home Message:

Prognostication is the key. (Age, KPS, Extracranial control, Primary ds...) – Choose wisely.

Number of lesion:

- Single:
 - Without mass effect: SRS alone no compromise in OS
 - With Mass effect: Sx -> SRS +/- WBRT; if not resectable SRS +/- WBRT
 - FSRS is another option if volume is big.

Take Home Message:

Oligo / Limited: 1 – 3 or 1 – 4 or 5 – 10...

Multiple:
WBRT + SRS boost
WBRT Hippocampal sparing
WBRT alone

Volume of Metastatic lesion(s).

SRS Dose: Lesser the volume – Higher the dose

Take Home Message:

SRS alone:

- Better Neurocognitive function / Better QOL
- Risk of distant brain failure is high
- Increased requirement of surveillance and salvage t/t

FSRS: For Larger Volume disease to prevent RN

WBRT: Poor KPS and poorly controlled disease,

Future direction:

- WBRT hippocampal sparing with SIB vs SRS alone
- WBRT with Memantine (To preserve neurocognition)



Acknowledgement:

- Dr Mukti Mukherjee
- Dr Arundhati De
- Dr Jibak Bhattacharya
- Dr Riddhijyoti Talukdar
- Dr Asesh Samanta
- Dr Chandrasekhar